International Supplement of

THE COMMUNIST

Vol. II., Supplement to No. 6.

JUNE 1, 1920.

Greetings to Communists Abroad

A Letter From N. LENIN

News from abroad is scarce and scanty. The blockade by the wild beasts of Imperialism is strangling us, and all the forces of the most powerful nations of the world are used against us for the re-establishment of the exploiters. The fierce hatred which the capitalists of Russia and of the entire world feel towards the Soviet Republic is camouflaged by high sounding phrases about the "real democracy."

The fraternity of exploiters is true to its own traditions: it represents bourgeois democracy to be the "democracy," and it includes all the Philistines, including Messrs. Adler, Kautzky, and the majority of the leaders of the "independent social-democratic party" of Germany, which is independent of the revolutionary proletariat, but dependent on

of the revolutionary proletariat, but dependent on petty bourgeoise prejudices.

The scarcer the news from abroad, the greater is our joy in Russia at the universal and gigantic successes of Communism among the workers of all lands, and at the severance by the masses of all ties with the treacherous leaders, who, from Scheideman down to Kautzky, have gang over to the bourgest.

lands, and at the severance by the masses of all ties with the treacherous leaders, who, from Scheideman down to Kautzky, have gone over to the bourgeoisie.

All we know of the Italian Socialist Party is—that the Congress has decided by an overhelming majority to adhere to the Third International, and to adopt the program of the proletarian dictatorship. Thus, the Italian Socialist Party has actually become Communist, although, unfortunately, it has retained the old name. We send out a hearty welcome to the Italian workers and their party.

All we know about France is—that Paris alone has already two Communist papers: "The International," edited by Raymond Pericat, and "Le Nom Défendu," edited by Georges Anquetille. Several proletarian organizations have joined the Third International. Evidently the working masses are on the side of Communism and the Soviet Power.

As to the German Communists, we have learnt that a number of towns possess Communist newspapers, most of which bear the title "The Red Flag." The Berlin "Red Flag" has an illegal existence, and is having a heroic contest with the butchers Scheidemann and Noske, who are rendering flunky service to the bourgeoisie by their acts, as do the independents by their words and by their propaganda of petty ideas.

We are full of admiration and enthusiasm for the heroic stand made by the Berlin "Red Flag," which shows that there are honest and sincere Socialists in Germany who remain firm, and refuse to be cowed, in spite of persecution and the foul murder of their best leaders. Communist workers in Germany are carrying on a heroic struggle worthy to be called really "revolutionary." At last the German proletariat has given birth to forces to whom the words "proletarian revolution" have become a reality.

We send our greetings to the German Communists!

Amsterdam, March 20th.

The Scheidemanns, and Kautzkys, the Renners and Friedrich Adlers, have shown themselves base traitors and betrayers of Socialism, and partisans of the bourgeoisie. They all signed the Basel manifesto in 1912 on the impending Imperialist war. They all talked, then of the "proletarian revolution," and all proved to be in reality nothing but small-bourgeois democrats, knights of bourgeoise-republican and bourgeoise-democratic illusions and belippers of the counter-revolutionary hourgeoisis. helpers of the counter-revolutionary bourgeoisie.

The fierce persecution of the German Communists has made them more determined. If, at the present time, they are to a certain extent disunited, this only bears witness to the broadness and the mass character of their movement, and to the growth of Communism in the very heart of the working masses. Disunion is inevitable in a movement which is being so fiercely persecuted by the counterrevolutionary bourgeoisie and its lacqueys, Scheidemann-Noske, and which is compelled to organize "illegally." 'illegally.'

It is also only natural that a movement which is growing so rapidly in the midst of persecution should engender sharp dissensions. There is nothing alarming in this; those are only growing pains.

alarming in this; those are only growing pains.

Let the Scheidemanns and Kautzkys express malicious joy in the "Vorwaerts" and the "Freiheit" at dissensions among the Communists. These heroes of a decomposing small bourgeoisie are reduced to covering up their own rottenness by sneers at the Communists. Only the deliberately blind can refuse to recognize the real truth about the situation in Germany, which is the shameful betrayal of the projetarian revolution by the Scheidemanns and Kautzkys, who have sided with the counterrevolutionary bourgeoisie.

Heinrich Laufenberg, in his admirable pamphlet "Between the First and Second Revolution." has

Heinrich Laurenberg, in his admirable pamphilet "Between the First and Second Revolution," has proved and substantiated this fact with remarkable clearness of judgement. Dissensions amongst the followers of Scheidemann and Kautzky are the dissensions of decomposing and dying parties which possess leaders without followers, generals without armies

out armies.
The mass out armies.

The masses are leaving the Scheidemanns, and are going over to the Kautzkys, because of the Left wing of the latter. This is evident from the perusal of any report of the mass meeting. The Left wing combines the unimaginative, cowardly old prejudices of the small, paltry, petty bourgeoisie regarding parliamentary democracy, with the Communist recognition of the proletarian revolution, the dictatorship of the proletariat, and the Soviet Power.

the dictatorship of the profetariat, and the Solver.

It is anly under the pressure of the masses that the worthless leaders of the "Independents" pay lip service to all this, for in reality they remain small-bourgeis democrats of the type of Louis Blanc and other foolish persons of 1848, whom Marx so mercilessly branded and ridiculed.

All these dissensions are quite irreconcilable. There can be no peace between proletarian world revolutionaries and the small bourgeoisie, which, like its proto-type of 1948, worships bourgeois democracy, oblivious of the latter's bourgeois character. These two cannot work together. Haase and Kautzky, Friedrich Adler and Otto Bauer, may twist and turn, they may fill reams of paper and dleiver no end of speeches, but the fact remains that, in reality, they are incapable of understanding the dictatorship of proletariat and the Soviet Power, and that they are nothing but small-bourgeois democrats, "Socialists" à la Louis Blanc and Ledru Rollain. In fact they are, in the best case, tools in the hand of the bourgeoisie, and in the worst—its conscious lacqeys. its conscious lacqeys.

its conscious lacqeys.

The "Independents." the followers of Kautzky and the Austrian Social-Democrats, ar e seemingly a united party, but in reality a large proportion of the members disagree with the leaders on everything which is essential. These members will engage in the proletarian, revolutionary struggle for Soviet power as soon as a new crisis arises, but the leaders will remain then, as now, counterrevolutionaries. Verbally, it is not difficult to sit between two stools, and Hilferding in Germany, and Friedrich Adler in Austria, are proving themselves past masters in this art.

and Friedrich Adler in Austria, are proving themselves past masters in this art.

However, in the thick of the revolutionary struggle, people who try to reconcile the irreconcilable will be like so many soap-bubbles. The "Socialist" heroes of 1848 proved themselves to be such, and the same may be said of their brothers—the Mensheviki and social-revolutionaries in Russia in 1917-19, and of the Knights of the Berne yellow Second Intennational.

The dissensions of the Communists are of a different nature, and it is only the wantonly blind who cannot see the fundamental difference. Those are the dissensions among the representatives of a mass movement of a remarkably quick growth. Those are dissensions which have a common, solid, fundamental basis: the recognition of the proletarian dictatorship and Soviet power.

On such a basis dissensions have no terror: they are growing pains and not senile decay. Bolshevism has also experienced dissensions of this kind and splits in the Party on account of them, but when the decisive moment came for the conquest of power and the establishment of a Soviet republic, Bolshevism became united. It attracted all the best elements of Socialist thought, nearest to it in conception, and gathered around itself the entire vanguard of the proletariat and a kigantic to it in conception, and gathered around itself the entire vanguard of the proletariat and a gigantic majority of the workers.

The same thing will happen to the German Communists.

(From "Workers' Drednought.")

(To be continued.)

Greetings to American Communists

FROM THE AMSTERDAM SUB-BUREAU OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

Comrades:

We have learned with utmost indignation how ruthlessly the ruling class of America is persecuting you. The brutality with which it strikes at the best workers for the cause, flogs and tortures, imprisons and deports hundreds of brave men and women, fills our hearts with the same bitter feeling of being powerless to assist you against your cruel oppressors, as we so often experienced when, in former days, the sad stories reached us of the suffering of the Russian revo-

But at the same time, the heroic way in which you are bearing up under the blow, fills us with admiration and with confidence in the future of the American working class. We know you are as yet only a vanguard; we know how American capitalism, by combining the brutality of the former Russian autocracy with the hypocrasy that is the proper gift of the Anglo-Saxon bourgeoisie, has succeeded till now in misleading the masses of the workers.

But we also know that persecutions have always been in the great epochs of the proletarian class-struggle "the seed of the church." So it was with Chartism, so after the promulgation of the anti-socialist law in Germany under the rule of Bismark; so in Russia after the terrible reaction of the years 1007-1010. Socialism always arose triumphant out of all persecutions. And so will Communism in our own days. Far from striking fear in the hearts of the fighters pledged to the revolution, the White Terror in America will arouse in thousands of workers a new consciousness of the realities of the class war, and the true nature of bourgeois democracy. It will turn the thought of thousands and thousands to the principles of Communism and make them realize that there is neither freedom, nor justice. nor any hope of a better life for the masses as

long as the capitalist class owns and controls the machinery of production.

The Social Revolution is making great strides in Europe; the light that has arisen in Russia floods the West; the ideas of the mass-struggle, the Soviet-system, and the dictatorship of the proletariat as means of realizing the reorganization of production on Communist lines gain daily in strength and sweep onward like an irresistible flood. In the whole of Central Europe capitalism is waiting for its deathblow; in the Latin countries,-France, Italy and Spain.-it is considerably weakened, being undermined by economical and political difficulties. Till now Anglo-American Capitalism stands almost unshaken, powerful and strong. Great Britain still relies on her colonial empire; she hopes to be able to avert the revolution by affording to the masses some slight betterment of their lot by lightening their chains a little through the exploitation of hundreds of millions of their brethren of the colored races. Well, we think these hopes will soon be disappointed. Revolt already raises its head in Egypt and in the Indies. As for the United States, the employing classes hope to retain their power by widening the chasm between a small aristocracy of labor, led by treacherous leaders, and the masses of the workers. They hope to retain it by fooling and bying the minority, by coercing and victimizing the vanguard of the masses.

It is the glorious task of the American Communism to carry on, on broader lines the task that the I. W. W. first took in hand, to lead the masses to the assault of capitalism; to become the nucleus, the heart and the brain, of a strong and determined working-class movement.

The arising of such a movement is of the utmost importance for International Communism and for the cause of the Social Revolution. We all know that the world revolution cannot triumph, as long as Anglo-American capitalism remains in power, and we have reason to believe that the decisive struggle between capitalism and Communism will be waged on the American continent. Nothing short of the fall of American capitalism will mean the end of that gigantic historical drama of which the world war seems to have been the prologue. The rulling classes of America know this, and that is why they crush Communism before it has deeply struck root into the American soil. But you, comrades, will not let them commit this crime; you will not let them destroy your organization or compel you to desert it; you will find ways and means to shift your methods of action, you will place your organization beyond the reach of your enemies and carry on, undaunted, the agitation amongst the masses. You will rally these to the flag of Communism, that is of world-wide, uncompromizing class-war. And when the economic crisis that is spreading over the world, reaches your country,-when the revolutionary storm, kept back neither by mountain ranges nor broad oceans, rages over the American continent,when millions of starving workers no longer, like in former times, cry out for bread, but fight for power, then you will lead the way to the general attack on the capitalist system. Your persecutions, your martyrdom to-day, your heroic struggle against fearful odds, all of this will design you for leaders of the masses just as the fortitude and determination of the Russian Bolsheviks designed them to take the lead in the revolutionary struggle of 1917.

Yours for the International Revolution

The Executive Committee of the Amsterdam Sub-Bureau of The Communist International,

D. J. Wynkoop.

S. J. Rutgers.

Henriette Roland Holst.

GREETINGS TO THE HUNGARIAN WORKERS

By N. LENIN

Comrades: The tidings which we are receiving from the Hungarian Soviet workers fill us with joy and

enthusiasm.

The Soviet power in Hungary is in existence for only a little over two months, and it seems that the Hungarian proletariat has already surpassed us in organization. This is comprehensible because the general cultural standard of the population is higher in Hungary (the percentage of the industrial proletariat to the whole population is also higher than in Russia—three millions in Budapest to eight millions of the whole population in present Hungary), and, finally, the transition to the Soviet order, to the Dictatorship of the Proletariat, was, in Hungary, far easier and more peaceful.

This last circumstance is especially important. The majority of the Socialist leaders in Europe of the social-chauvinist and Kaustkian schools are so sunk in the mud of philistine superstition, pure and simple, brought on by tens of years of comparatively "peaceful" capitalism, and of bourgeois parliamentarism that they enunot conceive of Soviet power and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat.

The proletariat cannot accomplish its world-

Proletariat.
The proletariat cannot accomplish its world-historical liberating mission without removing these leaders from its path—without pushing them completely aside. These leaders did believe, entirely or in part, the bourgeois lies about the Soviet power in Russia, and could not differed lateration of the proletariation of the workers, the democracy of the workers, the Socialist democracy, incarnate in the Soviet power, and bourgeois democracy before which they servilely bend the knee, deeming it "pure democracy" or "democracy" in the abstract.

These blind ones, stuffed up with bourgeois superstitions, cannot conceive of the universal historical turn from bourgeois detatorship to proletarian dictatorship. They confused one or another of the peculiarities of the Russian Soviet power of Russian history and its development with Soviet power in its international aspect.

The Hungarins proletarian revolution helps even the blind to recover their sight. The form of the ransition to the Dictatorship of the Proletariat in Hungary is far from the respective of the Proletariat in Hungary is far from the respective of the season of the bourgeois government, the momentary resonation of the bourgeois government, the momentary resonation of the unity of the working class, the unity of Socialism on a Communist program. The essential point of Soviet power appears now ever so much clearer: No other authority is possible now anywhere in the world,—except Soviet power supported by the working masses with the proletariat at their head—except the Dictatorship of This dictatorship, press upon the version of the proletariation of force, pittlessly, severe, swift and resolute to suppress the resistance of the exploitance. He who does not understand this is not a revolutionist and should be removed from the post of leader and counselor of the proletariation.

But violence alone is not the essence of proletariation and intentionistic professional suppression of the proletariation of man by man. This aim cannot be accomplished at

The destruction of classes is a matter of long, difficult and tenacious class struggle which—after the overthrow of the power of capital, after the destruction of the bourgeois state, after the establishment of the dictatorship of the proletariat does not disappear (as the thinkers of the old Socialism and the old social-democracy believe), but only changes its forms, becoming in many respects more obdurate.

but only changes its forms, becoming in many respects more obdurate.

Only by waging the class struggle against the bourgeoisie, against the inertia, the routine and indecisiveness of the petty-bourgeoisie—can the proletariat defend its power, strengthen its organizing influence, achieve the "neutralization" of those elements who are afraid to break with the bourgeoisie and are following hesitatingly, the proletariat, strengthen the new discipline, the comradely discipline of the working class, their iron bond with the proletariat, their concentration around the proletariat,—that new discipline, the new foundation of the social bond in place of the chattel discipline of the middle ages, in place of the discipline of hunger, the discipline of the "free" wage-slavery of capitalism.

Political Parties in Great Britain

REPORT TO THE AMSTERDAM SUB-B; REAU OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL

Political assimilition is proceeding in Britain with a rapidity most remarkable in view of the vast amount of machinery through which energy can be dissipated and crises forestalled. Social patriotism reached its climax during 1916. It had swamped almost every section of society. Even a large proportion of those who had been professedly pacifist and anti-war were in fact social patriots. The class war was forgotten by the working class except by a few to whom we shall refer later. The political thought of the country was reflected by the following parties:

Tories or Conservatives—Tory Party. Liberalism—Liberal Party. Labor—Labor Party (Trade Unions, Fabians, I. L. P., B. S. P., Co-Operative Societies).

ions, Falcieties).
Labor-

Labor—outside the Labor Party: Socialist Labor Party, South Wales Socialists, Workers Soc. Federation, Socialist Party G. B., Anarchist groups, Guild Socialist groups.

The two parties, Tory and Liberal, reflect respectively the Landed, Financial and manufacturing interests. Labor and Socialist Parties reflect proletarian interest, both agrarian and industrial.

The Labor Party is yet young, the 1906 elections having marked its definite advent into British politics and indicated the proletarian drift away from the Tory and Liberal parties, the latter having prided itself for many years for its capacity to express the interests of the workers as well as the capitalists. It is a peculiar conglomeration of persons and interests and parties which has led to much confusion in estimating its capacity to express proletarian interests.

Its primary action was to gather together all the elements which could lay claim to be focussing the workers interests in order to express them in united form. Its organization was loose of necessity and its platform equally indefinite. Hence in actual experience it is dominated by the heaviest forces within it, the trade unions. Most of the important trade unions are affiliated and put forward candidates at elections under the Labor Party auspices. It has thus in spite of what may be termed the more radical elements within it, become the political reflex of trade unionism. The intense development of the last few years has compelled it to shape a policy and program which now overshadow all the minor political party elements such as the I. L. P. and B. S. P.

Its program is essentially a reformist program, aiming at state control under capitalism, the development of the political democracy of capitalism, and the industrial democracy of joint control by workers and capitalists. It supports the League of Nations and is affiliated with the Second International. It gives lip service to the abolition of capitalism. whilst the capitalists look to its deeds to save them from their disaster. In

grew in strength trade union leaders such as Henderson, Clynes, Thomas take their place. These are social patriots of the deepest dye.

The party's original looseness, nominally retained actually steadily disappears and leaves the Socialist elements to function as protesting voices.

It has not become a party with machinery equal to the traditional party machinery of Liberalism and as it is inforced by the middle class elements of the old Liberal Party the voice of revolt within it but serves to stimulate and strengthen the hands of reactionaries who lead the party. The movement of the middle class elements referred to and the adjustment of the Labor Party machinery to accept them are indicative of the reaction upon the petty bourgeoisie and labor driving them from the coalition of the principal parties which was formed for the conduct of the war.

In that coalition all Liberalism was lost by the centralisting and oligarchical control demanded by the war. After the climax of nationalism of social patriotism had been reached in 1916, labor began to assert itself, and as the class conflict became more manifest in the internal affairs of the nation the Labor Party was compelled to move away from the coalition. It moved not with a clear class-conscious purpose, but under pressure with all the petty bourgeois thoughts of traditional trade unionism. Hence, Liberalism having been completely overwhelmed by the war, the Labor Party appears to be the only refuge of the lower middle class. The cessation of hostilities with the Central Powers and

In order to abolish classes—a period of the dictatorship of one class is necessary, precisely one of the oppressed classes which is able not only to overthrow the exploiters, not only to pitilessly suppress their resistance, but also—to free themselves mentally from all the bourgeois-democratic ideaology, from all the phrase-mongering about liberty and equality in the abstract. (In fact, as Marx has shown, this phrase-mongering designates the "liberty and equality" of the commers of commedities, not the "liberty and equality" of the capitalist and worekr.)

What is more, only that class of the opressed classes is able to destroy classes by its dictatorship which is trained, united, hardened by tens of years of strike and political struggles with capital—only that class which has mastered all the urban, industrial and big-capitalistic culture,—which has the resoluteness and ability to defend preserve an develop further all its conquests, popularize them with all the people, all the workers, only that class which will be able to withstand all the hardships, reverses, pitfalls, and make those tremendous sacrifices inavoidably placed by history on the shoulders of those who break forever with the past and bravely clear for themselves the path for a new future; only that class, which is most full of hatred and contempt for all the petty-bourgois and philistine—those qualities which flourish among the petty-bourgeois clerks and "intellectuals,"—only that class which has "passed the hardening school of labor" and can impress respect for its ability to work upon every toiler, upon every honest man. Comrades, Hungarian workers, you gave the world a better example than Soviet Russia in the way you were able to unite at once all the Socialists on a platform of real proletarian dictatorship. Ahead of you is the severest task of all—to defend it in a terrific war against the Allies.

Be firm! If wavering will appear among the Socialists who have previously joined with you

Allies.

Be firm! If wavering will appear among the Socialists who have previously joined with you in the Dictatorship of the Proetariat, or, among the petty-bourgeoisie—suppress these wavering ones pitilessly. To be shot—is the fate of the

in the Dictatorship of the Proetariat, or, among the petty-bourgeoisie—suppress these wavering ones pitilessly. To be shot—is the fate of the coward in war.

You are leading the only legitimate, just, real, revolutionary war,—the war of the oppressed against the oppressors, the war of the workers against the exploiters, a war for the victory of Socialism. All over the world, everyone that is honest in the working class, is on your side. Every month brings the universal proletarian revolution nearer.

volution nearer.

Be firm! The victory will be yours!

the demand of economic readjustments, is driving the big interests to care for a further continuation of the coalition and the petty and free trade interests for the revival of liberalism.

The shadow of the revolution has been thrown across their paths and all of them are calling for

The shadow of the revolution has been thrown across their paths and all of them are calling for salvation.

Meanwhile the same intensification of the class struggle has had its effects upon other parties. The I. L. P., the largest of Socialist parties, was largely pacifist in sentiment. Its lack of clarity in the realm of economics led it to social patriotism in practice. Traditionally reformist in character its leaders are strenuously endeavouring to lead it in the direction of the Labor Party, while the increasing intensity of the class conflict and the lessons of the revolution in Europe are driving the rank and file towards the Third International. In this there is great danger of an organized body accepting new principles whilst their leaders think in terms of the old.

The B. S. P., much less of an organization, the lineal descendant of the S. D. F., has also undergone a variety of changes even though it clings to the Labor Party. The first effects of the war was to create a split between the social patriots, Hyndman and Co., and the anti-war elements.

Its next important change occurs subsequent to the Russian revolution. Up to this time it had carried with it the traditions of parliamentarism from the S. D. F. and opposed industrial unionism. Its opposition to the latter being not so much because it possessed an alternative theory of organization, as opposition to the idea of building organizations external to the trade unions. Its national conference move towards the Third International led to the resignation of two of its leaders and it stands much clearer towards a revolutionary policy both in personnel and tactics than at any time in its history. Its membership is estimated to be about 6000.

Turning to the parties external to the L. P. the most important is undoubtedly the S. L. P.

much clearer towards a revolutionary policy both in personnel and tactics than at any time in its history. Its membership is estimated to be about 6000.

Turning to the parties external to the L. P. the most important is undoubtedly the S. L. P.
Formed in 1903 it has steadily and persistently focussed the class struggle, revolutionary parliamentarism and industrial unionism, following on the lines of De Leon of America. It has never been large in membership but its influence has been felt in every Socialist party in the country. It has its own press from which has emanated much good work. Vigorous and uncompromising, a pioneer of revolutionary educational classes, its lecturers and literature have penetrated I. L. P. and B. S. P. alike. It had least to adjust when actual revolution compelled all parties to review their policy and practice. Even prior to the great event of 1917 a movement had made itself manifest within the party for a new oriention of the party's policy suitable to the conditions of Britain. It ran candidates in the 1918 elections on a Soviet program. It is affiliated to the Third International. Its membership will be about 600 and its principal center is Glasgow.

The Workers Socialist Federation is of recent growth out of the Women's Suffrage movement. It is now-definitely anti-parliamentarian, proclaims the proletarian dictatorship and the Soviets as the only means of achieving the triumph of the working class. It is also affiliated to the Third International. Its activities are principally in London.

The South Wales Socialist Society is a small party akin to the W. S. F. and accepts the same principles and policy.

The Socialist Party of Great Britain is an insignificant group noted for their anti-Industrial policy and being the only true interpreters of Marx. There are a number of anarchist groups doing much active propaganda work in sympathy with the Russian Revolution although their numbers are not large.

The Guild Socialist Groups are also doing much propaganda for industrial unionism

much active propaganda work in sympathy with the Russian Revolution although their numbers are not large.

The Guild Socialist Groups are also doing much propaganda for industrial unionism, whilst their theories, particularly in relation to the State, are receiving much sympathetic attention in the I. L. L. Lach of the organizations enumerated with the exception of the S. W. S. S., have their own paper. The I. L. P.—"The Labor Leader," the B. S. P.—"The Call," the S. L. P.—"The Socialist," the Workers Socialist Federation "The Workers Dreadnought," the S. P. of Gr. B.—"The Socialist Standard," the Guild Socialist—"The Guildsman."

Since the beginning of 1919 there have been efforts to fuse a number o fthese parties together into a Communist Party.

In February of March the I. L. P., B. S. P. and S. L. P. met in conference to discuss unity. On this occasion the S. L. P. alone stood on the basis of the Third International. The Conference proved abortive, the B. S. P. delegation agreeing with the S. L. P. who saw no reason to depart from the policy they had pursued for thirty years.

Later the S. L. P., B. S. P., W. S. F. and S. W. S. S. have met in conference several times and have arrived at an agreement to the Third International, dictatorship of the proletariat, the Soviets. But disagree on parliamentarism and affiliation to the Labor Party.

The W. S. F. and S. W. S. S. are against parliamentarism and affiliation to the L. P. The S. L. P. is for revolutionary parliamentarism but against the L. P. The B. S. P. is in agreement with the

mentarism and affiliation to the L. P. The S. L. P. is for revolutionary parliamentarism but against the L. P. The B. S. P. is in agreement with the S. L. P. on parliamentarism but for affiliation to the L. P.

A recommendation from a recent unity conference to the effect that there should be a Communist Party formed on the basis of things agreed upon and the points of difference on the L. P. be submitted to a ballot three months after the party has been formed, has resulted in the S. L. P. refusing to accept the proposition of a vote on affiliation to the L. P. even after the three months referred to the B. S. P. result is not yet declared though it is anticipated the party will vote in favor.

Without the S. L. P. agree to fusion the W. S. F. and S. W. S. S. will certainly not join the B. S. P. The B. S. P. apparently will now have to choose between unity and affiliation to the L. P.

The intensification of the conflict and the extended propaganda following the Russian Revolution has had its effect on the I. L. P. in spite of its official declaration at the Scottish Conference of January 1920 declared in favor of the Third International. It is doubtful whether this will be ratified by the annual conference of the party. It may be that a move will be made away from the Second International and encouragement given to the Longuet policy.

Summing up the position therefore we find the class demonstration line becoming more clearly defined in politics as in industry even though clothed in social patriotism: the British Labor Party becoming overwhelmed by the trade-unions and the petty bourgeoisie and thrusting the weight of its machinery the Socialist parties into the background, while the Socialist parties move steadily towards the revolutionary left. This movement with and A recommendation from a recent unity conference to the effect that there should be a Communist

while the Socialist parties move steadily towards the revolutionary left. This movement with and really reflecting the same tendencies in industry

(Continued on page 4.)

PEACE WITH RUSSIA

MAY 1st PROCLAMATION ISSUED BY THE AMSTERDAM SUB-BUREAU OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

STRIKE ON MAY DAY 1920! Peace with Russia! What does it mean?
Is there such a thing as peace between a Soviet
Republic and World Capitalism?
No! a real peace is impossible under capitalism.
A real peace for Russia means the victory of the

A real peace for tussia means the victory of the world revolution and nothing elsē.

Therefore, recolutionary action of the Workers to force peace has to be a struggle to dévelop power to such a degree, that World Capitalism will be prevented from making war upon Russia in one

to such a degree, that World Capitalism will be prevented from making war upon Russia in one form or another.

First, open warfare must be stopped, by refusing not only to fight, but also to make or transport arms, munitions, equipment, etc., for those who might use them to fight Soviet Russia.

Second, the Workers must prevent and counteract all machination, plotting and underground action against our proletarian comrades, or exposing those responsible for it, by preventing money to be expended in such work and by not believing any of the lies in the capitalist press. This latter is very important. If we pledge uorselves not to believe any capitalist statement with regard to Soviet Russia, any report about alleged barbarities, any so-called facts about internal troubles and chaos or external policy of conquest, etc., we are not likely to be fooled again just as most of us were fooled in 1914.

Third, to strive in other countries towards Soviet Republics as the organs of the dictatorship of the proletariat. This inspiring aim we must always bear in mind, in all our deeds, all our actions. We must fill our minds with revolutionary thoughts—we must dare to hope in the midst of our misery—we must understand more and more that the breakdown of capitalism is in full process, we must be willing to destroy the weapons of our enemies, we must have confidence in our constructive power. All this we can achieve in a constant fight with bourgeois civilization, bourgeois morals, bourgeois supremacy.—IT MEANS LABOR AS THE BAS-IC PRINCIPLE OF SOCIAL AND MORAL LIFE.

What about the peace proposals of the enemies of Labor?

They have become methods to destroy Soviet Russia from within and may be supplemented at

have become methods to destroy Soviet

What about the peace proposals of the enemies of Labor?

They have become methods to destroy Soviet Russia from within and may be supplemented at any time by methods from without, if the workers should weaken for a moment. Russia is willing to accept such a peace, knowing full well what it means. But they need railway material and machines so badly they are willing to take a chance upon intrigue, corruption, counter-revolutionary plots and murder, confident that the workers in Western Europe will draw power from a closer contact with the Russian Revolution, confident that capitalism will break down before it can strenghten itself from the treasures of the Russian soil.

Russia might feed Europe, might supply it with the most valuable raw materials and it will, no doubt, give some immediate relief to the exhausted nations of Central Europe. Even from this narrow point of view, peace with Russia is in the direct interests of the workers. But if a capitalist peace with Soviet Russia really meant the recuperation of capitalism throughout Europe, this would be detrimental to the interests both of Soviet Russia and the World Revolution. We are confident that the relief will be of such a character that it will not give capitalism a new chance for temporary recovery and preparations for a new world war, that it will come too late anyhow. It is our duty and our only salvation to back up Russia by our action, to such an extent, that it can secure a peace that will strenghten the first proletarian state more than it will her enemies.

If a new attack is forthcoming, we have to fight this new crime; if peace is on the way we have to fight even harder, for the result will depend upon the kind of peace and the willingness of the workers to use this temporary truce for their own revolutionary purposes. And remember well: What is true for Russia, to-day, may become true for Soviet Germany or any other Soviet Republics to-morrow.

Therefore, under all circumstances, the action to support Soviet Russia must be uppermost in our

Therefore, under all circumstances, the action Therefore, under all circumstances, the action to support Soviet Russia must be uppermost in our minds, must form part of all our proletarian action. And to make it clear to the world, this is a paramount international issue, the idea of an international demonstration strike must be propagated and prepared in all countries.

Such a demonstration cannot be successful unless the clear struggle creates and intensifies a feeling

e class struggle creates and intensifies a feeling international solidarity, unless in all our mass evements we include Peace with Russia in our

demands. But even when incomplete, a demonstration for a workers' peace with Russia contributes towards strengthening the forces of International-

The Amsterdam Bureau of the Communist Inter-

The Amsterdam Bureau of the Communist International considers it its main task to furner international unity, not only of thought but of action as well. It therefore submits for consideration to all Communist groups and revolutionary organizations, workers' committees, etc., the possibility of a DiDMONSTIANTION STRIKE in favor of peace with Soviet Fussia on an international scale.

The First of May was always intended to be a day of general strike, the world over, but till now it never succeeded in realizing the general revolutionary character that its promoters wanted it to bear. On the contrary: in the last decade before the war, the First of May more and more lost all revolutionary significance. Capital did not feel any threat to its existence in the parades and demonstrations heid on that day by millions and millions of people, and the bourgeois state incorporated these demonstrations in its normal life, like it did tradesdemonstrations in its normal life, like it did trades-unions, the Social-Democratic parties, etc. To the Third International has fallen the histori-

To the Third International has tallen the historical task to perform what the Second International only planned—to realize the visions that its predecessors only talked about. It is bound to realize internally and externally, the international unity of the world-proletariat, its unity of doctrine, of organization and of tactics. It must teach the workers to form a world-front that Imperialism is already developing despite of its internal deviations and developing despite of its internal deviations and

In suggesting, that in 1920, the First of May be In suggesting, that in 1920, the First of May be used for an international demonstration strike in favor of Soviet Russia (no matter whether at that time the imperialists talk peace and plan war) we want to avail ourselves of the traditions of working-class solidarity and action in favor of peace, already attached the MAY DAY, and to use those traditions as a means of bringing on a new, vigorous effort in the direction of international unity of action. We think the times are ripe for an effort of this kind.

To a superficial observer, the revolutionary struggle in Central and Western Europe may seem To a superficial observer, the revolutionary struggle in Central and Western Europe may seem developing with the utmost slowness, or is even brought to a standstill, but if we look more closely and below the surface, we cannot but wonder at the tremendous changes going on in the minds and souls of millions and millions of men and women all over the earth—changes developing with the utmost rapidity. Thus, they believe that the fatal, immutable, everlasting domination of Capital is being shattered day by day. The idea of new forms of human life, of general comradeship and culture for all, and the common ownership of the means of production presents itself as an approaching reality for the first time since society was divided into a dominating and a dominated class,—for the first time it takes hold of the masses. The outward facade of the bourgeois state and society still exists, but it may fall to pieces at any moment, although a long and severe struggle will doubtless still be necessary, as much to finally crush the bourgeoisie as to effectuate in the mass of the people the moral and intellectual transformation that will make them able to institute the Communist Commonwealth, and render them fit to live in it.

All the same, we must always keep before our minds the fact of the enormous changes going on below the surface of things. We are convinced that any little thing, some minor circumstance may now, at any moment cause the countless elements of the new revolutionary consciousness floating all over the world, to unite into a new body

ments of the new revolutionary consciousness floating all over the world, to unite into a new body and manifest themselves with unexpected force, thus becoming the motive-power of renewed strife and welcome upheaval. In the present days there no longer exists unfavorable situations for action in the eld sense of the world; the times for the in the old sense of the word; the times for the passing-away of Capitalism are ripe and any dead calm may be the forerunner of new social storms rising unexpectedly.

Prompted by these considerations, we lay before Frompted by these considerations, we lay before all labor unions, all extra-union mass organs, all groups and parties, this suggestion of a general strike of May First 1920 in favor of Soviet Russia, and we beg them to inform us if it will have their

> For The Amsterdam Bureau of The Communist International

APPEAL TO THE BRITISH, FRENCH, AND BELGIAN PROLETARIAT

COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISIONAL BUREAU OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

Proletarians:

In a magnificient onrush the German proletariat has swept away the counter-revolution of the Kapps and the Lüttwitzes. The reaction of the Junkers has been crushed by the dauntless courage of the masses who rose like one man. These working masses, divided against themselves starved and miserable, trodden down by the Noske regime, lacking arms, deprived of their ablest leaders, have united in superb fighting movement and have displayed in the decisive instant the most splendid courage and initiative. Without an instant's hesitation the German proletariat has left the factories and stopped the means of transoprt and the public services; it has procured itself the arms it needed and by means of guns as by means of strikes, combining the two great methods of the class-struggle at the disposal of the working class, it has achieved victory. From the first day, in the midst of the battle against the counter-revolution of the Junkers, another battle defined itself like a flame burning with a fiercer glare in the core of a vast blaze. This flame was the war against the bourgeois regime served by the social-democratic government, the war against capitalistic tyranny and opression, the war for the deliverance of labor from exploitation, for the Soviet system and the dictature of the proletariat. In the suburbs of Berlin, in Saxony, in Thuringia, in Wurstemberg in Bavaria, in all Germany, but especially in the Rhine district and the Westphalia, workshop-councils spring up and endeavour to seize the power. The proletarians arm, and form Red Guards. The industrial cities of the West change into as many fortresses, where the social revolution organizes and increases its forces. At the same time the revolutionary wave sweeps upon the rural districts: agrarian disturbances break out in Pomerania and in Mecklenburg, whilst part of the police refuse to fight against the revolution. Proletarians: in Mecklenburg, whilst part of the army, some naval divisions and part of the police refuse to fight against the revolution.

The Ebert Government mad with fear, sees the real enemy, sees Spartacus overthrown, decimated,

crushed over and over again, again raising its head more terrible and threatening than ever. It sees the large masses of the Independent Socialist Party fight on one front with the Communist vanguard. It even sees in many places members of the social-patriotic party unite with them for demonstrations strikes and the armed struggle. And the fear of the government deepens as it sees the attitude assumed by the bureaucracy of the syndicates which, however much against their will, and only to avoid being submerged by the revolutionary tide, demand the immediate socialization of the mines, the disarming of the troops, the formation of a milice, the participation in the power of the Central commission of the industrial unions;—demands that, although in reality a maneouvre of the trade-union bureaucracy, shows the concessions this bureaucracy is obliged to do, in order to satisfy the aspirations of the masses towards the system the trade-union bureaucracy, shows the concessions this bureaucracy is obliged to do, in order to satisfy the aspirations of the masses towards the system of Soviets and, unhesitatingly, the Ebert Government decides to fight to the end for the maintenance of the capitalistic system. It gathers its forces, it makes front against the Left, to beat down Spartacus. Noske, whose dismissal was announced, is still maintained a few days longer in office by the majority of the social-democratic delegates to the National Assembly. He calmly allows the counter-revolution of the Junkers take up its quarters and gather its forces in Silesia and Eastern Prussia, the Vendeée of Germany and Noske the hangman, Noske the butcher concentrates all the faithful troops at his disposal against Westphalia and the Rhine district, the flaming hearts of the Social Revolution, where by the hour it is gaining strength and splendor. It is there that the battle between Past and Future will be decided. It is there that either German Capitalism will receive a mortal stroke, or the grand attempt of the working classes to selze the power, will be drowned in a deluge of bleed And Whilst tons of thousands of workers. of blood. And whilst tens of thousands of workers in those numerous cities of the Rhine district which form the single immense industrial agglomeration already are sketching out the political forms of the

TO THE COMMUNISTS OF

GREAT BRITAIN

COMMUNICATION OF THE AMSTERDAM SUBBUREAU OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL
The Sub-Bureau of the Communist International is under the impression, that some misunderstanding prevails about the attitude of the Bureau towards affiliation of Communist groups and parties to the British Labor Party.

A resolution passed at the February Conference in Amsterdam and two letters well the property of the property

A resolution passed at the February Conference in Amsterdam and two letters written to comrades of the I. L. P. have been interpreted differently. It is for this reason, that we wish to accentuate our opinion briefly as follows:

I. In accordance with the resolution mentioned above we are of opinion, that Communists should not be affiliated either directly or indirectly to political organizations that accept the principles of the Second International. For England such an organization no doubt is the Labor Party.

II. We are convinced that the policy of the Labor Party especially if this policy should be successful, will lead to a betrayal of the cause of the workers similar to the betrayal of Ebert_Noske, mutatis mutandls.

mutatis mutandis.

III. We have stated that affiliation with the Third International of groups that participate in the Labor Party is possible, as is shown by the B. S. P., in so far as they accept Communist principles and tactics, which involves a persistent struggle within the Labor Party against the policy and tactics of this body. We are convinced, that participation in the L. P. if accompanied by Communist criticism and action will only be temporary.

munist criticism and action will only be temporary.

IV. Since we agree with those Communists in England, that object to participation in the Labor Party, we are of opinion, that they should not give up their attitude on the plea of unity. Much as we would like to see a united Communist Party in England it may be better to postpone this ideal than to compromise on important issues.*

V. We strongly appeal to our English friends to unite on the basis of no affiliation to the Labor Party, as we clearly see the catastrophe that will follow the coming into power of a parliamentary Labor Government. Warning in advance may help to unite the workers after the failure will become evident, under the banner of Communism. To achieve this result it is necessary however to clearly define our attitude towards the methods of the Labor Party. A compromise in such a way that local organizations are allowed a policy, that is considered objectionable as a general method, must lead to confusion when accepeted by a united Communist Party.

must lead to confusion when accommunist Party.

For The Amsterdam Sub-Bureau of
The Communist International
D. J. Wynkoop,
H. Roland Holst,
S. J. Rutgers.

* Italics are ours.—To this particular paragraph we call special attention of all our advocates of "unity at any price." The general principle underlying this paragraph is, in our opinion, applicable and must be applied to the Communist movement in any country, including also the United States. Ed.

new scoiety, whilst they restore order, start anew the public services, organize the first Red Army in Western Europe, the chargé d'affairs of Britain and France congratulate the Ebert-Noske Government on its victory over the "reaction" and offer their support towards the destroying of the nascent Communist Republic, even as Bismark, all but half an age ago, offered his support to Thiers toward the destroying of the Commune.

In face of the common enemy, the social revolution, conquerors and conquered forget their disjunctions. The antagonism of their interests disappears before the universal interest of the capitalistic class, before its instinct of selfpreservation.

Already Lloyd George, Millerand and Vandervelde have wiped out the score of their differences with the German bourgeoisie. Nay more: in order to save it they are prepared to shed the blood of the British, the French and the Belgian people.

Already British troops at Solingen have helped to crush he Spartacist insurrections.

Already the commanders of the Entente troops have deliberated at Mayence, Foch presiding, on the measures to be taken against the Communist movement in the Ruhr valley.

Already the British chargé d'affaires has informed

measures to be taken against the Communist movement in the Ruhr valley.

Already the British chargé d'affaires has informed the German Vice-Chancellor Schiffer that the Entente would not furnish any food-stuffs or raw materials to a German Soviet Republic.

Already the Entente has allowed the troops of the government to traverse occupied territory on their march against the Communist insurrection, and to make use of this territory as a base of operations in the concentrated attack excinct the

operations in the concentrated attack against the Red Army
The solidarity which unites all bourgeoisies, all militarisms is proclaimed openly, cynically, without any attempt to gloss the matter over. It behooves to proclaim as openly as energetically solidarity of the prolateries.

British, French and Belgian proletarians, will you

the proletariat.

British, French and Belgian proletarians, will you let your rulling classe make use of you to trample to death the German revolution?

The German revolution—that is just a way of speaking of traditional expression. To speak the truth, there is no German revolution, no more than there is a Russian, or a British, or a French, or an Italian, or a Spanish revolution.

There is only one Social Revolution, as there is only one capitalistic oppression, as there is only one Socialism, one hope of the oppressed and exploited of all countries.

Our rulers know that the Republic of Workers' Councils established in Germany means the accord of Germany with Soviet Russia, that is, the marvelous development of the industry and the technique of the one, and the immense resources in agrarian products, in fertile land and in raw materials of the other uniting, melting one into the other, fecundating each other. They know this accord means the proletarian Revolution, invincible henceforth by the blockade as by the steel. They know that this accord means the rapid and assured evolution of the Communist production and culture, its redience growing day by day more luminous more that this accord means the rapid and assured evolution of the Communist production and culture, its radiance growing day by day more luminous, more serene. more irresistable, towards the countries where foul capitalism stinking with corruption and sweating blood, still struggles in a horrible agony. They know that the triumph of the Revolution in Germany will immediately start revolutionary movements in Yugo-Slavonia, in Poland, in the Balkan, in Italy, etc. They know that when capitalism crashes in Central Europe, capitalism in Eastern Europe is mortably wounded. They know that Social Revolution is one, and that crushing it in Germany means crushing it in the germ in their own country. own countr

French, British and Belgian proletarians, will you once more suffer yourselves to be gulled by your (Continued on page 4.)

The Ninth Congress of the Communist Party.

A Soviet radio of April 7th, quoted in "I'Humanite" on April 16th, gives the following passages from Trotsky's speech at the final session of the Party Congress on the previous day: "The Soviet Army reflects the transitional character of the Soviet régime. The latter is entering to-day upon a new period of its existence in which the principal weight of its forces is being transfered to the economic front. Consequently, the Red Army must modify itself to correspond to the new phase of development of the Soviet Republic. Obviously, while the country was obliged to carry on a desperate war on all fronts there could be no question of creating a regular militia service, that system exists to-day only in its embryonic form of universal military training.

The idea of the militia arose at once amongst the bourgeoisle and from the Socialists of the Second International. In his book, "L'Armée Nouvelle," Jaures foretold that a military army, in a democratic republic, would little by little colliess. But the imperialist wur Red Army, have shown that the character of an army is not determined merely by its form. It was suggested that the militia system would assure the defense of the country at much less cost: on the contrary, a well-organized militia will be much more costly than a standing army, if only for the reason that it covers infinitely vaster masses of the population. The fact is that the militia system has been simply forced upon the nations by the march of events: this was seen during the late imperialist war, which forced all States to call up class after class, and, in some cases, to multiply tenfold their peace effectives. But the principal argument for the militia system is that, to have an army, we must produce, and, in order to produce, we must retain the necessary man-power in the workshops and the fields. The trade unions will certainly play a considerable part in the organization of the army.

If we speak of militarization of Labor, we must lake set before ou

all countries were watching Russia and awaiting new victories.

After this speech, on the motion of Preobrazhensky. Lenin's oldest friends—Kamenev, Bukharin, Kalinin, Radek, and Riazanov—addressed the Congress on the subject of the life and work of the leader of the world Revolution, who would on April 10th attain the age of 50. Bukharin called him the most perfect type of logical Marxist theoretician and statesman. "Lenin is exempt from all narrowness of view. His universal spirit seizes in every period the characteristic tendency and gives clear, exact, and considered replies which are always to the point. He has always struggled against the least manifestation of opportunism and has always attacked the least traces of bourgeois spirit." Kalinin attested the affection and respect which all workers cherished towards Lenin. Riazanov characterized him as a figure who is both national, in the Russian working-class movement, and international, as is shown by the revolutionary murmurs which agitate the whole world in reply to Lenin's words.

In spite of Lenin's words.

In spite of Lenin's protests, these speeches were greeted with a great ovation.

The new Central Committee, elected on the 8th day of the Congress, comprises: Andreiev, Bukharin, Dzerzhinsky, Zinoviev, Kalinin, Kamenev, Krestinsky, Lenin, Preobrazhensky, Rudzutak, Radek, Rakovsky, Rykov, Sergeiko, Serebriakov, Smirnov, Stalin, Tomsky and Trotsky.

GERMANY

GERMANY

GERMANY

The Communist Party.
Boris Souvarine, in the course of an article in "L'Humanité" of April 19th, replying to certain statements made by Caussy, the "Humanité" corresopndent in Berlin, states that in October, 1919, at its Heidelberg Congress, despite the mass persecutions and suppressions it had suffered, the K.P.D. (Kommunistische Partei Deutschlands) numbered 103,000. At the beginning of March, when in Berlin, he was told by Talkeimer, editor-in-chief of the "Rote Fahne" and collaborator of the "Internationale" (the review founded by Mehring and the "Rote Fahne" and collaborator of the "Internationale" (the review founded by Mehring and Rosa Luxemburg) that the terrorized printers refused to print the Communist organs even after a short break in the state of siege had given them, for a few days, the possibility of appearing. Before the last suppression of January 13th, one of the two "Rote Fahne" (each of the two Communistanti-parliamentarian and syndicalist as well as orthodox—parties has its own), printed by the most primitive methods, had a circulation of 24.000 copies when there was no material possibility of printing more. Talkeimer declared that, without boasting, the circulation would reach 100.000, if access to modern typographical establishments were not forbidden.

A Fifth International?

A Fifth International?

The "Vorwaerts," April 18th, has a leading article in which they bewall how misunderstood they (the majority Socialists) are abroad. They note with pleasure the series of articles written by Mistral (a Centre Socialist) after his sojourn in Germany during the Kapp coup in "l'Humanité" in which he approves in the main of the majority Socialist tactics, but even that has not the desired effect on the French and other Socialist parties as a whole. Their crying need is an "international" where they could make their views and actions known, and as no one is prepared to help them, they, the majority Socialists, must act on their own. To call together an International, we presume. Whether the second or a "fifth" is not clear from the article But truly the plight of the

International News The American Socialist Party AND THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL

Editor's Note: This letter from our International Secretary has just reached us in time for publication. Comrade Fraina is abroad making contact with the Communist movement there and participating in the work of the Third International.

TO THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE SUB-BUREAU OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL. Comrades:

BUREAU OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL. Comrades:

The Socialist Party of the United States has decided to affiliate with the Communist International, and has made application accordingly to G. Zinoviev. In considering this application, the following points should be born in mind:

1.—The mere decision to affiliate with the Communist International is in itself of small value: the decisive factor is acceptance, in theory and practice, of Communist fundamentals.

2.—The resolution of the American Socialist Party in favor of affiliating with the Communist International is silent concerning acceptance of the principles and tactics of the International. At the last National Convention of the Party, August 30, 1919 (at which, incidentally, the expulsion of 40,000 Communists from the Party, was overwhelmingly approved) mass action, Soviets and proletarian dictatorship as means of Revolution were rejected, the Socialist Party evading every actual problem of revolutionary theory and practice.

3.—The policy of the Socialist Party is completely dominated by the conception of parliamentary conquest of Capitalism. The party is not revolutionary; on the contrary, it is shamelessly opportunist; its tactics are comprised in dependence upon petty-bourgeois democracy, parliamentarism, reformism, and co-operation with the liberals and reactionary trades-unions.

4.—The revolutionary elements in the Socialist Party have either been expelled or have seceded—

tactics are comprised in dependence upon petty-bourgeois democracy, parliamentarism, reformism, and co-operation with the liberals and reactionary trades-unions.

4.—The revolutionary elements in the Socialist Party have either been expelled or have seceded—of the former party membership, approximately 50,000 are now in the Communist Party, 8000 in the Communist Labor Party, and only 30,000 are still in the Socialist Party. The Socialist Party now consists wholly of the Right and the Centre: opportunists and reformists, all. The dominant personages in the Socialist Party are: Morris Hill-quit, an unprincipled, refined opportunist of the Longuet type; Victor L. Berger, a social-patriot who, in 1913, urged that the United States should conquer and annex Mexico; Seymour Stedman, a typical petty bourgeois radical, who, upon the expulsion of 40,000 Communists from the party, declared in a capitalist newspaper: "The Socialist Party has been purged of its Bolshevism"; Meyer Lonuon, who, as a mmeber of Congress, supported the war and was not expelled from the Party; Algernon Lee, who, while a member of the New York City Board of Aldermen, voted to promote the sale of Liberty (war) Bonds and urged war against Germany "in order to save the Russian Revolution." All the leaders who represented the Socialist Party as a typical party of the old International are still dominant: there has been a purge of the Communists, but not of the opportunists, of the Right and Center.

5.—The Socialist Party prides itself upon having declared against the war. a) The revolutionist largely responsible for the anti-war declaration are now out of the party. b) The party's official policy, as expressed by the leaders who are now still dominant in the Socialist Party wages a strong campaign against intervention in Russia and for recognition of the Patty bourgeois radicals. Moreover, the Socialist Party neither emphasizes nor appreciates that aspect of the Russian Proletarian Revolution which makes mandatory the revolutionary reconstruction of

States."

8.—The Government's savage campaign of repression against the Communists does not involve the Socialist Party. In a declaration issued January 23rd, 1920, justifying the repressive campaign of the Department of Justice, Attorney General Palmer said: "Certainly such an organization as the Communist Party of America and the Communist Labor Party cannot be construed to fall within the same category as the Socialist Party of America, which latter organization is pledged to the accomplishment of changes in the Government by lawful and rightful means."

9.—The National Executive Committee of the Socialist Party, in a session of March 8, 1920 (two months after the decision to affiliate with the Communist International), revealed three significant facts:

cant facts.

a) That a number of trades-unions had been invited to send delegates to the Party Convention of May 8th—indicating that the Socialist Party is merging more completely in reactionary craft

German majority Socialists is a sad one. Between the social patriots of other countries, who dislike them because they are German, and supported their country in the war, and the Communists of all countries, who denounce them because of their betrayal of the German working class, there is no haven for them except—where they naturally have fallen—in the arms of the German bourgesies.

SWITZERLAND The "Internationals: Dead and Stillborn.

A Berne message to "L'Humanité," of April 16th, states that the American S. P., although affiliated by its recent vote to the Third International, has signified its approval of the Swiss "Reconstruction" proposals. The same message states that Camille Huysmans has convoked the 10th congress of the Second "International" for July 31st at Geneva

A Communist Conference Forbidden.

The Vand Cantonal Government has forbidden the holding, anywhere within its jurisdiction, of the conference of Communist organizations which has been summoned for April 17th-18th at Yverdon by the Communist group within the Swiss Socialist Party. (L'Humanité," April 16th,1920.)

b) That a demonstration for political prisoners was being arranged together with the Freedom Foundation and the National Civil Liberties Bureau—indicating the Socialist Party's co-operation and affiliation with petty bourgeois radicals.

was being arranged together with the Freedom Foundation and the National Civil Liberties Bureau—Indicating the Socialist Party's co-operation and affiliation with petty bourgeois radicals.

c) That Jean Longuet was to speak in the United States under the auspices of the party—indicating the opportunist and centrist character of the Socialist Party's International affiliations.

10.—Historically, the Socialist Party developed as the American expression of the opportunism and reformism which became dominant in the Second International at the close of the XIX century; the party represented and still represents moderate petty bourgeois Socialism. The decision of this party to affiliate with the Communist International is the product of two factors: a) Undefined sympathy of the party membership with the Russian Revolution and the Soviet Republic; the Communist International being identified with the Soviet Republic and a means of assisting this Republic, instead of as a means of revolutionary struggle and the reconstruction of Socialism. b) The "diplomacy" of miserable Left-Center in the Socialist Party, which considers it a "clever stroke" to affiliate with the Communist International imagining that this might impair the power of the Communist Party of America.

The admission of the American Socialist Party to the Communist International would be a serious blow to the American Communist movement and to the International itself.

A serious and menacing situation now prevails in the Communist International. The old International is broken in pieces: in this, the Communist International is broken in pieces: in this, the Communist and the Communist International. The old International is broken in pieces: in this, the Communist and particular conting the Second International has not yet performed the constructive task of organizing itself on a definite basis. All sorts of parties and groups formerly affiliated with the old International to admit these undesirable elements would mean reconstituting the Second Internatio

Louis C. Fraina,

International Secretary, C. P. A.

APPEAL TO THE BRITISH, FRENCH AND BELGIAN PROLETARIAT

(Continued from page 3.)

continued from page 3.)
ruling classes? Will you be your brothers' murderers? Will you by committing the abominable deed prepare your own destruction for tomorrow?
Or will you profit by the lessons of six years of unheard of sufferings of heart-rending experiences? Have you beheld the light that shines out of the East? Has the dawning of a new immense hope scattered the mists of your unconsciousness? Has it cleansed your soul, and strengthened your heart?

sciousness? Has it cleansed your soul, and strengthened your heart?

If so, you know what you have to do: to do immediately, to-day; to morrow might be too late. You must, on a large scale, repeat for your German brothers and with infinitely greater firmness and vigor, what you attempted to do for your Russian brothers, and, what however is insufficient and weak still has contributed to their salvation.

British Proletarians! Remember the stormy magnificient meetings of the Hands-off-Russia Committee. They were a ford for the raising of the blockade.

French Proletarians and Soldiers! Remember the men of the Black Sea Fleet, the dockers of Bord-

French Proletarians and Soldiers! Remember the men of the Black Sea Fleet, the dockers of Bordeaux. Their courage has contributed to the defeat of the partisans of military intervention. Workers of the Entente! Loudly proclaim your solidarity with the German revolution! Exact from your Governments the withdrawal of the troops from the occupied territory. Railwaymen, refuse to effectuate the transport of any troops or any arms or munitions to Germany.

many.

All of you answer any attempt on the part of your Governments to strangle the German revolution by extending and intensifying your own revolutionary activity. Make it plain to them, that if the international bourgeoisie is one in the defense of its ruined and rotten social order, the international proletariat is one in the heroic struggle for deliverance. for deliverance.

Boldly forward, Comrades!
At this instant the fate of the European Revolution depends on you, on your initiative, on your farsightedness.

Hurrah for the Communist Revolution in Ger-

many!
Hurrah for the World Revolution, the Universal Soviet Republic!
The Executive of The Amsterdam Sub-Bureau

of The Third International.

D. J. Wynkoop, Roland Holst. G. A. Rutgers.

POLITICAL PARTIES IN GREAT BRITAIN

(Continued from page 2.)

as indicated in my industrial report is of the utmost importance not only to the British movement but to the international. The leftward movement is importance not only to the British movement but to the international. The leftward movement is to the good but the danger of accepting it uncritically is most marked. Without the international stiffens and emphasizes the need for clarity and the acceptance of the full responsibilities of its policy, there may be witnessed the swamping of the Third International by the muddle-headed leaders of the Second International.

Probably nowhere is there greater danger of this than in Great Britain where social sentiment gives place so much to clear thinking. It is a welcome sign to see the Socialist movement being forced to take itself to task where it has been as sentimental as parochial

A Communist Party, or two Communist parties may be formed in Britain therefore at an early date. There is a tremendous task before them even though history is urging things along at a rapid pace.

rapid pace.

J. T. Murphy, S. S. Workers Committees.

Amsterdam, March 1920.

Bolshevism," raised by the Communist Labor Party and hy some "persistent voices" within the Communist Darty Wis only regret is that these protests were "confused,"—so far as the Communist Labor Party was concerned, and that they were "o. cial's stifled" within the Communist Party... "He longs for the Left Wing, dreams of that blissful time when he will be "back again in the Left Wing..." His whole article, in fact, is a continuous moaning for the Left Wing.

The language federations of the Party,—particularly the Russian Federation,—were instrumental in the organization of the Communist Party in the United tSates. This is a well-known and established fact by this time. Comrade Zinoviev, the Chelman of the Communist International, in one of his official communications, calls the Russian Communist movement in the United States."

Y. F. undoubtedly also knows and recognizes this fact. But just because of this—he, a sentimental bard of the Left Wing,—bitterly hates and attacks, the Russian Federations: "The net result of the Federation leadership has been an absolute pushing backward of the revolutionary Socialist movement in the United States," he indignantly exclaims.

O, of course, since the Communist Party has

movement in the United States," he indignantly exclaims.

O, of course, since the Communist Party has already been organized in this country.—"it is assuredly not the desire at this date to quarrel with the fact of the starting of the Communist Party," he tries to reassure us. He is so magnanimous, that he does not even mention—"at this date!—that the Convention, at which the Communist Party was started, had been "packed..."

But he "accepted" the Communist Party simply and only because it seemed to him a good "forum in which to fight against domination of an agreesive, active, hopeful membership by a small clique of vain politicians."

By the way, Comrade Reader, does this not have a familiar ring to you—this charge of "a small clique of vain politicians". Isn't this the very same charge flung against "Lenin and his gang" by the reptile press in "dominating and suppressing the good, kind and generous but simple Russian peaple"?...

he good, kind and generous out simple Russian peable"?...
he accusation that "an aggressive membership" could be or has been dominated by a "samil clique of politicians," certainly sounds just as absurd as the most ridiculous and lying accusations invented against the Bolsheviki by the capitalist press.
While virulently attacking the Federations for their "fight to conquer the Left Wing"—in order to transform is into the Communist Party—our virtuous Left-Winger confesses, however, his aim as well as his adherent's inthe Communist convention and subsequently in the Communist Party was a Left Wing conquest of the Communist Party back into the Left Wing:
"Once in Communist Convention (in other words,—once such a "catastrophe" had happened), there appeared no escape from the dilemma except a Left Wing conquest of the Communist Party!.."

once such a "catastrophe" had happened), there appeared no escape from the dilemma except a Left Wing conquest of the Communist Party!."

The Federations, and especially the more experienced Russian Federations understood very well, and saw through the tricky plans of the "berpetnal Left-Wingers"; they realized the danger of a "Left Wing conquest (or absorption) of the "berpetnal Left-Wingers"; they realized the danger of a "Left Wing conquest (or absorption) of the Communist Party" and acted accordingly. But Y. F. and his adherents did not understand and did not know that they and their plans were discovered and warded against,—and this is precisely why he so "sarrastically" accuses (in his naivety not susnecting even how comically it really sounds) the Federations of applying an "arbitrary calendar test". In the process of the formation of the Communist Party.

No, dear T. F.—though you are a "learned statesman" and "political scientist,"—you did not understand, that it was not a "calendar test." The question was not of a date, but of the method of formation of the Communist Party. Substantially, there was no difference whether to start it officially on June 22nd or on September 1st,—and as matter of fact (he Federations shifted the date from the former to the latter,—but it was important, and it made a great difference as to how to start the Party. It is one thing to start with a clearly-defined, real, Communist Party from, and with a membership, already consciously Communist,—who in fact were already Communists,—and it is quite a different thing to invite to participation in its formation, and in the formulation of its program and tactics, elements—large numerically but poor in "Communist quality..."

But, we realize, of course, that such considerations are beyond the understanding of our learned statesman and author ...

tions are beyond the uncestatesman and author...

Having failed in an attempt of a "Left Wing conquest of the Communist Party" at its first Convention, and in several similar attempts (in Convention and in several similar attempts (in fact,—it was one continuous attempt) during the subsequent seven months of the Party existence, our "Lord Varney" and his followers were not discouraged; they found their consolation in the sentence: "defeats are only disastrous when we fail to accoura a new wisdom and a new determination out of them."

And so they decided to arm themselves with "a new wisdom and a new determination with "a new wisdom and a new determination." in order to save the Party, and incidently "liberate" it from the "exotic (read: foreign) domination" by Federations.

"A new wisdom" soon prompted them a proper course of action, while a 'new determination' made them ready and willing to accomplish "something really big, something extraordinary."

"The Communist movement in the United States has gone backward since June 1919, "having been departed by the Open Coursets" these

having been theohas gone backward since June 1919, "having been dramatically declared by the Don Quixote "theoretician" of the "minority group,"—his administrative Sancho Panza—Damon—decided to interpret this statement into action. ** And, since "the Communist movement has gone backward,"—it was only natural that he decided to push it "forward," to—June of last year."

only natural that he decided to push it "forward," to—June of last year!..

His recent "coup d'etat" in the C. E. C. and the C. P. was clearly an attempt to carry out that plan and that decision. It was a deliberate attempt to accomplish the longing desire of the "minority" and to return the Party to that delightful primitive stage of "Left-Wingism." Had their plan succeeded, there would be—just as in June of last

APPEAL TO THE MEMBERSHIP, ISSUED BY THE CENTRAL EXECUTIVE COM-MITTEE OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY

(Editor's Note: A part of this call was omitted by some error in the last issue of The Communist. Since it is impossible to reprint the omitted part by itself we are reprinting the entire call as this is an important official document.) The Comming Convention of the Communist Party. APPEAL TO THE MEMBERSHIP, ISSUED BY

THE CENTRAL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE C. P. COMRADES:
The Central Executive Committee recognizes the

necessity for a party convention in order to settle finally the differences that have been seething within the party for a long time and which came to a climax at last in the form of the present "secession movement" led by the former Executive Secretary and two members of the

Executive Secretary and two members of the C. E. C.

The C. E. C. recognizes that the membership also demands a convention for the same reasons. Therefore, this being the earnest and general desire of the party, THE PARTY CONVENTION MUST AND WILL BE CALLED.

However, in order to make the convention a success—in order that the convention shall accomplish the necessary task of clarifying the fundamental issues at stake—IN ORDER THAT THE COMMUNIST PARTY SHALL FUNCTION FOR THE PROPAGATION OF COMMUNISM IN HARMONY WITH THE PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES LAID DOWN BY THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL, without internal dissension paralysing its activities—and,—what is most important—in order TO GIVE THE MEMBERSHIP—THE RANK AND FILE—the opportunity to express their opinion on the issues before the party, this convention

year—no organized Communist Party, but only an "unimaginative," diffused Left Wing divided into two groups,—majority and minority...
CONCLUSION

At the end of the first installment of his article—
of which this is an analysis,—Y. F. promises a
"continuation." We do not know, whether or not
he will fulfill this promise. But even if he should,
we doubt whether he will say anything new,
whether it will be of any particular interest to us.
As we stated before, his "political identity" is
now definitely clear to us. Whatever "new" and
"interesting" he could have said, is already contained in the first part of his article. His further
"written exercises" can only have an "archeological" or "psychological" interest. They may contain
interesting material for a treatise on the "Psychology of a Political Has-Been," but no more
than that.

than that.

He revealed himself politically, as a "Communist Han-Been." Together with Harold Lord Varney Walling, Spargo and others, he has the dubious honor to belong to a "class" of political "hasbeens."

Walling, Spargo and others, he has the dubious beens."

It may be argued that to identify him with Walling and Spargo is a "little too strong..."

To which we reply, that we do not imply that his apostasy is of the same degree as that of Walling or Spargo, but, what we do intend to imply, may be better expressed in a "mathematical formula": The relation of Y. F. to the Communist Party is identical to the relation of Walling and Spargo to the Socialist Party, or of Lord Varney to the I. W. W...

All of them should be carefully shelved and listed in a museum of "political has-beens..."

Regrettable, however, is it that so many commades,—many of them good and sincere Communists, perhaps,—still do not understand this "hasbeen" character of Y. F. and of the "minority group" whom he represents.

Some of these earnest commades, are still, due to misunderstanding, misinformation, or misinterpretation, on the side of the "minority" serving the latter as a sort of "gunfodder" in its fight against the Communist Party. But even among the commades who are on the side of the "majority," or rather—of the Communist Party,—good Communists though they be—we hear from time to time (but more and more seldom though, it is true) voices in favor of "requesting Damon and the other members of the C. E. C. to return immediately to their respective posts" and continue to work under the control and supervision of the C. E. C.

The comrades voicing these "requests" and "demands," in their honesty and sincere devotion to

tinue to work under the control and supervision of the C. E. C.

The comrades voicing these "requests" and "demands," in their honesty and sincere devotion to the Communist Party do not realize that neither Damon ner Y. F. and other leading figures of the "minority" group do belong any longer to the Communist Party, and for that matter—to the Communist movement in general,—that to "invite" them back into the Communist ranks sounds just as naive and sentimental,—if not to say ridiculous,—as for instance, to "invite" Hilquit or Oncal into the Communist ranks!.

We want, in this country, as everywhere,—a real, definite, revolutionary Communist Party, without any "Centrist" taint about it. It was for such a Communist Party that we split away from the Socialist Party, that we (or overwhelming majority of us) bolted from the National Left Wing Conference and separated ourselves from the Centrist "Communist Labor" elements. Are we now going to destroy the results of this long and painful process of building the Communist Party? Are we now going to "invite" back to our ranks those "Centrists," who—just because of their "Centrism"—have themselves voluntarily left us?.*

No, comrades! tis high time to understand, that,—whatever might be said of the split in the C. E. C. its reasons and of its desirability

no, comrades: t is high time to understand, that,—whatever might be said of the split in the C. E. C. its reasons and of its desirability or practicability at that particular moment, two months before the convention,—now, since the split has already occurred, we have no reasons to

regret its occurrence.

"Prematurely." perhaps, having occurred earlier than it would have otherwise, thic split liberated us from the "Centrist" elements who handicapped

us from the "Centrist" elements who handicapped our Communist work, and, if anything,—we must rejoice about it.

It is high time to understand that the return of all these "Centrist" elements into the Communist Party (granting, for the sake of argument, that such an absolutely impossible thing could have occurred), would be a decided step backward—it would really push our Party and the Communist movement backward in this country by at least one year.

novement backward in this country by at least one year...
All these considerations and the desire to bring them to the attention of the comrades promted us to write this article. Though devoted to "one individual" only.—as it might appear on the surface.—this "one individual" being the leading "theoretician" of the "minority group,"—it was important to prove conclusively to the comrades his and his followers "centrist" character...

must be well-prepared and carefully arranged.

The time, the place, the method of electing the delegates, and, above all, instructions to the delegates are essential prerequisites in order to make

This means, first of all, that before the convention is called, the membership must be given the opportunity to discuss all the issues so that. When the time comes for the election of delegates, they will not elect them blindly, RITT INTELLIGIENTLY—AND ELECT ONLY THOSE DELEGATES WHO ACTUALLY REPRESENT THEIR OPINION ON THE ISSUES IN THE CONTROVERSY.

OPINION ON THE ISSUES IN THE CONTRO-VERSY.

All this requires time for preparation—prepara-tion for the process of elections in the various stages and the technical arrangements connected with these and the convention itself, both by the membershin and the Central Executive Committee. If called too soon, without the proper time for preparation as stated above, the convention would only result in failure and necessitate the calling of another convention a few months after this convention to settle the issues which a hurried convention will inevitably fall to accomplish.

Moreover, this convention should be called early by the Central Executive Committee of the marty, as the only lecally elected body which, between conventions, can speak with authority in the name of the Communist Party and its membership as a whole. This is the only meaning of revolutionary centralism and discipline upon which a real. Strong Communist Party can be built.

Therefore, we call upon the membership to repudiate the so-called convention called by the former Executive Secretary Damon, which, as we will show, is nothing but a trap set for the rank and file. The date itself, as fixed in the call issued by the Former Executive Secretary implies no real desire to have any convention at all.

In the first place, the former Executive Secretary

rank and file. The date itself, as fixed in the call issued by the Former Executive Secretary implies no real desire to have any convention at all.

In the first place, the former Executive Secretary had no right or mandate to call this convention. The duty of the Executive Secretary, as defined by the occidental Executive Secretary, as defined by the occidental Executive Committee. He is only the executor of the decisions of that body, or where a division exists, of the majority of that body, and is responsible only to the Central Executive Committee, which is, in turn, responsible to the convention.

Secondly, the date set in the call issued by the former Executive Secretary, May ... for elections of intermediary units and May ... for national convention, even were it technically possible, would give no chance to the membership to discuss the issues involved.

Thus, while pretending that they represent the membership and that they want to give them the opportunity to express themselves at the convention, the "minority" in fact, is deliberately arranging the convention so as to prevent the membership from any possibility of expressing themselves. The date fixed by them implies that they are deliberately arranging their convention so as to force the membership to elect their delegates blindly. In other words, they are simply deceiving the membership.

But it is obvious that it is physically impossible to have the elections and the convention on the date set in the call of the "minority." Conventions are not called at a week's notice! This is so self evident, that even the "minority." Conventions are not called at a week's notice! This is so self evident, that even the "minority." Conventions are not called at a week's notice! This is so self evident, that even the "minority." Convention are not called at a secrit of "bribe" to the membership in an attempt to swing them away from the Communist Party and its Central Executive Committee, over to their side by offering them an "earlier" date. The very fact that in

own call and its fixed date for the convention seriously.

In the meantime, the "minority" do not hesitate to use the party funds in the possession of the former Executive Secretary, entrusted to him by the C. E. C., to appoint paid District Organizers in every District, even where District Organizers appointed by the C. E. C. are still functioning, in order to build up rival organizations in all the party units—TO CAPTURE THE DELEGATES FOR THEIR SIDE. In other words, to break the very foundations of the Communist Party—ITS REVOLUTIONARY DISCIPLINE.

But we know that the membership will answer

the very foundations of the Communist Party—ITS REVOLUTIONARY DISCIPLINE.
But we know that the membership will answer the "minority" and in no uncertain terms. They will not be led into the trap set for them. They will refuse to go into a "convention" called by these "secessionists" and disrupters. They will dismiss as irrelevant and hypocritical the cry of "factional control" ralsed by the "minority" against the C. E. C.

The rank and file will, first of all, carefully discuss the issues involved—W.Ll. TAKE SIDES and elect their delegates accordingly in order to settle the issues at the convention called by the "central Executive Committee. At present, the "next order of business" before the membership is to take up those issues, discuss them and understand them. And the C. E. C. urges every party unit to this "order of business."

It is necessary that every group should have this discussion, before the sub-district and district conventions are held (not after them. For it is exactly these preliminary conventions that will determine the CHARACTER AND COMPOSITION OF THE NATIONAL PARTY CONVENTION!

DO NOT ELECT SUB-DISTRICT AND DISTRICT DELEGATES NOW.

The call for the party convention will be issued by the Central Executive Committee: setting the

WAIT FOR THE CAME.

(C. E. C.

IN THE MEANTIME DISCUSS THE ISSUES.

TRY TO UNDERSTAND THEM SO THAT YOU WILL BE ABLE TO ELECT THE DELEGATES WHO REPRESENT YOUR POINT OF VIEW. Only

(Continued on page 8.)

^{*}Not being a "theoretician" and sneeringly branding as "great theorists" all those who "constantly talk about principles," Damon, as is well known, is a "man of action" and a "great administrator and organizer." His administrative and organizing genius found its expression in the following profound sentences in his "Statement to the Majority members of the E. C." (Ex. Council): "The Chicago District Organizer... is the most capable man in such a position... He has turned over to the National organization... more funds... than all the other districts combined... The officialdom of the S. P. are called "vote-catchers."—Damon may well be called a good "money catcher." And he certainly knows how to catch money. He "appropriated" more than seven thousand dollars of party money and "got away with it..."

^{*}Not speaking even of the utter inconsistency of inviting "back to his post" former Executive Secretary Damon, a man who so shamelessly be-trayed his trust and the confidence placed in him!...

THE MINORITY HAS BEEN SMOKE OUT

(Continued from last issue)

Federations.

The question of the federation issue is a puzzling one to the membership because the "minority" still camouflages its objective-the abolition of federations. To prove this we will have to analyse what they aim at-not what they say. First, the "minority" believes that dues-stamps should be sold to the federation branches only through the District Organizations and not through the Central Executive Committees of the Federations. Second, the "minority" holds that the future development of the party lies in the direction of "shop units."

The first plan the "minority" claims would make for more efficiency in the administrative work of the party. Let us see. What strikes us first is that this method is THE ONE ACCEPT-ED BY THE C. L. P. the most rabid antifederationists we have come across. What strikes us next, is that this plan is now being advocated by the very ones who have been opposed to federations for years. The former Executive Secretary has never made a secret of his opposition to federations and at every opportunity he has attempted to limit or circumscribe their authority and autonomy. At the C. E. C. meeting he made the motion to change the method of paying dues with the explanation that "this was the dirst step in the process of the abolition of federations." So, if we take all these factors into consideration, we find that this is not simply an administrative detail BUT BEHIND IT LURKS A DEEPER AND DEAD. LIER PURPOSE.

When this fact is recognized, the next question that arises is, can the process of abolishing the federations be begun at this time? Especially when this step is undertaken by Centrists and opportunists who do not really belong in the Communist Party and who are taking a short cut out by "splitting" away themselves. Admitting as the "majority" has always maintained, that the future organization of the federations and their relation to the party must be built on a more centralized basis, it is undeniably true, that the time has not yet arrived when this process can be effected. The federations, as at present constituted, are the carriers and promulgators of Communism in this country and they have not yet completed their function in the American Communist Party in this regard. In the process of fulfilling this function the Federations will gradually "die out" thus making it unnecessary to destroy them artificially.

The language federations—especially the Russian Federations-are the foundation stones of the Communist Party. Without them there would be no Communist Party in this country at the present time.

In this pre-revolutionary epoch, while the American working class is as yet hostile or indifferent to Communism, while the economic and political conditions have not yet awakened the revolutionary spirit in the masses, the only elements who are making supreme sacrifices to keep the party functioning and spread its propaganda are the "foreign comrades" of the language federations. It is the "foreign comrades," who. working through their federations, bear the brunt of the struggle and strife. They give of their time, energy and money unstintingly. This no one can deny.

The "foreign comrades" cannot, most of them, speak or understand English. Abolish the federations and their machinery for keeping their units functioning, and these 'foreign comrades' are cut off from their only expression and articulation; they become easy prey for any English elements who wish to carry on their own kind of propaganda without hindrance from any organized "foreign elements" who know what Communism really means and can exercise control over those who attempt to work contrary to their conception of Communist principles and tactics.

Now as to the "shop units" which the "minority" only hint at, but for some reason or another, best known to themselves, are afraid to elaborate. Perhaps when we expose this second proposition the readers will understand the reason

The innocent term "shop units" carries with it an idea which aims at the complete transformation of the Communist Party as at present constituted into "shop branches" only as the future form of the Communist Party.

This would destroy, not only the Federations,

but the very political composition and character of the Communist Party itself. What the future of the party would be, organized on this industrial basis, we can leave to the imagination of the rank and file. Those comrades who know of the shop branches in the Bolshevik movement in Russia, and their function and their utility in the Bolshevik organization, know the great danger of the purely trade-union psychology predominating over the larger political perspectives in their shop-branches. Rather were they elementary branches, so to speak, whose contact with the political organization was similar to the idea of Communist Party shop branches as advocated in the program of the Communist Party and adopted at the last convention. Such shop committees, composed of members of the Communist Party, to carry on Communist propaganda and agitation in the shops and industries directly, must be under the control of the party. But, to transform the entire party into shop branches, destroying completely the political organization, is a Centrist conception that could only have been born in the minds of Centrists, casting about for a way of getting "contact with the masses" at any cost, even at the expense of destroying the conserver of Communism itself,—the political organization of the Communist Party.

Naturally, with such an object before them, the necessity of beginning the process of destroying the federations can be understood when emanating from the "minority."

This proposition is the clue to the "minority's" attitude toward federations, even were all other signs ignored. And all their smooth phrases to the contrary notwithstanding; they DO IN-TEND TO FORCIBLY ABOLISH THE FED-ERATIONS.

As for their statement that they are content to let the federations decide for themselves at the convention what the future form of federations shall be, that is so much poppy-cock. The Federations did decide at the last convention and the "minority" later raised the charge of "packing" the convention. Should the federations again decide at the next convention—and their decision run counter to the "minority's,"—they will again raise the cry of "packing" the convention.

But the Federations will not be so foolish as to entrust their future into the hands of its enemies, particularly, when those enemies are Centrists at the same time, who have no clear, consistent conception of Communism or its application.

The examples of the Hungarian and Jewish Federations do not speak well for the "minority's" position. Any federation membership that permits itself to be led out of the Communist Party by its Executive Committee is not a Communist membership AND DOES NOT BELONG IN THE COMMUNIST PARTY IN THE FIRST PLACE. (This holds true for that part of the membership which is following the "minority" out of the Communist Party.) The Jewish comrades, on the contrary, are not following the lead of their Executive Committee in remaining "neutral" (suspended in the air) but are taking their places according to their composition-Communists lining up with the C. E. C. and the Centrists with the "minority."

Summary

To sum up. The "minority" have been smoked out into the open and forced to expose their conception of Comunist principles and tactics upon which we desagree so fundamentally. Having done this, they have revealed themselves to be opportunists and Centrists with a bourge Socialist ideaology.

On the question of Unity with the C. L. P. we have proved—and the facts as printed in the previous issues of the Communist have borne us out-that the "minority" are mere whining sentimentalists who, Centrist-like, always seek unity with Centrist elements, but cannot tolerate or work together with real Communist elements as represented by the C. E. C. They sought to effect immediate amalgamation with the C. L. P. disregarding the differences between the two organizations-and disregarding the elemental consideration in Communist unity-ACCEPT-ANCE AND PRACTICE OF COMMUNIST PRINCIPLES. They never looked beyond the mere technical advantages of gaining more organizers, writers and speakers and ignored all else.

On the question of International Delegates and Relations with the Third International we have proved conclusively that their conception of international relations is on a par with that of Morris Hilquit and the conceptions of the Second International. We have also proved that they disobeyed the decisions of the convention on this question.

On the question of Mass Action, they have a confused Centrist conception which in nowise differs from that of the C. L. P. or the I. W. W. or even the S. L. P. and S. P. insofar as propagating the idea of the inevitability of a violent revolution to the workers is concerned.

As for the charge that the "majority" have carried on a campaign against Lenine as a compromiser, that is such a low, contemptible lie, that we do not even deign to answer it. There is a limit to which even Communists can descend to answer the slanders of an opponent!

On the question of federations, we have proved that their object is the complete abolition of them despite their smooth evasions and indirect insinuations to the contrary.

On the question of Shop Units or Shop Branches we have further proved their desire for the elimination of federations as well as pointing out the Centrist, opportunist character of such a project.

On the question of legality, as they call it, we have proved that they lack the very first element of Communist understanding of revolutionary centralization and discipline.

On the question of splitting the party we have developed the facts sufficiently in the last statement and the present article to disprove their evasions and lies as well as their mechanical conception of splits in general and this one in particular.

The "minority" stands convicted on every count. They have proven themselves to be a set of revolutionary charlatans, cowardly compromisers and ignorant Centrists. They have merely taken advantage of a temporary and unusual situation where the former Executive Secretary, having all the funds and property of the party in his possesion, have carefully prepared a coup d'etat on a flimsy pretext hoping to crush the C. E. P. whom they hated bitterly, and drive them from the party before the convention, or to force such terms upon them as would make the next convention a farce insofar as setting the real fundamental issues between the "majority" and "minority" were concerned.

This also explains their hasty call for their convention, set for a ridiculously early date, in order to make it impossible for the membership to discuss and understand the issues and elect delegates on the basis of such intelligent understanding.

But their coup failed. Without funds and party machinery the C. E. C. immediately set to work and has built up a strong organization that will be able to withstand the splitting away of some Centrist elements that never really belonged in the party at all; at best, they should have been in the C. L. P.

The Communist Party is gaining and will gain immeasurably from the lessons taught it by this

News From Europe

RUSSIA

More "Missions" to Russia.

The Czecho-Slovak Government, according to "Thumanité" of April 17th, has replied to Chicherin's last note, assuring the Soviet Government of its sincere friendship towards the Russian people. It has decided to send a special mission to Russia to study the question of economic relations "as well as many other problems."

The "Imparcial" announces that a commission, composed of three delegates—one on behalf of the Government, one for the employers, one for the workers (a Socialist M. P., Fernandez Rios)—will shortly leave Spain for Russia to study the "social.

workers (a Socialist M. F., Fellianiez Mossis, m. shortly leave Spain for Russia to study the "social political, and economic situation" of the Soviets.

White Guards Negotiating? According to the Stockholm paper, "Folks Dag-bladet," says "I'Humanité" of April 15th, the White General Vrangel has opened negotiations with the Soviet Government

The General Strike in Turin.

Soviet Government.

The Turin correspondent of "L'Humanité" stated, on April 16th, that the general strike had just begun, and attributed its origin to the following causes. The workers, in agreement with the Unions and the Party, have organized factory councils (commissioni, interni) everywhere; the employers have consequently begun a struggle agricust the

(commissioni interni) everywhere; the employers have consequently begun a struggle against the new organizations with the object of divesting them of all economic authority—as the strength of the Turin workers is so great that in many factories they have actually been able to control production.

To bring about the limitation of the powers of the Works Councils, the employers proposed a system of lahor contracts, which would set a pasis to their future development. This actually brought about the conflict, in which the railwaymen have spontaneously joined. The workers have published in "Avanti" a conciliatory statement of the conditions under which they wish the principle of factory councils to be applied.

PROBLEMS OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL

By N. LENIN (Continued from page 1.)

And therefore I was so deeply grieved by the Moscow Manifesto, which was at least premature and certainly useless. And I hope that my French comrades, who have been buffeted about during the last four hapless years by so many slenders and misfortunes, will not succomb to a fit of impatience, and will not also help to split the International solidarity.

Otherwise your children will have to reconstruct this solidarity if the proletariat are ever to rule the world.

JOHN RAMSEY MACDONALD

The author of the above article, as the reader can see, is attempting to prove that a split is not necessary. On the contrary, just its inevitability follows from the line of argument of Ramsay MacDonald this typical representative of the Second International, worthy colleague of Scheidemann and Kautsky, Vandervelde and Branting, etc., etc.

The article of Ramsey MacDonald is the best sample of those smooth, well-sounding stereotyped phrases, Socialist in appearance, which in all advanced capitalist countries have served for a long time to screen bourgeois policies within the working class movement.

Let us begin with the least important but peculiarly characteristic. As Kautsky (in his pamphlet "Dictatorship of the Proletariat") the author repeats the bourgeois lie, that in Russia nobody forsaw the role of the Soviets, that I and the Bolsheviki began the struggle with Kerensky only in the name of the Constituent Assembly.

This is a bourgeois lie. As a matter of fact, on April 4th, 1917, on the very first day of my arrival in Petrograd, I already introduced "theses" demanding the Soviet, and not the bourgeois, parliamentarian republic. I repeated this many times during the Kerensky premiership both in print and at meetings. The Bolshevik Party emphatically and officialy announced this in the resolutions of their Conference on April 29th, 1917.

Not to know this means—not to want to know the truth of the Socialist Revolution in Russia. Not to understand that the bourgeois parliamentarian republic with the Constituent Assembly is one step forward as against the same republic without the Constituent Assembly, and that the Soviet Republic is two steps forward in comparison with it,—means to close one's eyes to the difference between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat.

To call oneself Socialist and not to see this diference, two years after the introduction of this question in Russia, one and a half years after the victory of the Soviet Revolution in Russia,-means that one remains stubbornly in absolute enslavement to "public opinion of non-Socialist elements," i. e. to the ideas and policies of the bourgeoisie.

With such people the split is necessary and unavoidable, because it is impossible to carry on the work for the Socialist Revolution hand in hand with those who are pulling on the side

And if men such as Ramsey MacDonald, Kautsky and others, did not want to overcome even such a really insignificant "difficulty," for such "leaders," as of informing themselves from the documents on the attitude of the Bolsheviki

NEWS FROM EUROPE

NEWS FROM EUROPE

F R A N. 6 E

To the Strains of the "International."

Recently-mobilized recruits of the 1920 class write to "La Vie Ouvriere," of April 16th, as follows:—From Metz: "The departure from the Gare the l'Est took place amidst the strains of the 'International." From Toul: "I have seen in a Nancy paper that the 1920 class was joining up with enthusiasm. This is a little too strong. From Paris to Nancy we sang the 'International.' All the time our captain was saying that all the Parisians were Bolsheviks. On Thursday, while we were going through Nancy, our officers wanted to make us sing 'Madelon.' We all said we didn't know it." Another writes: "We left singing, certainly, but not the 'Marseillaise.' On our arrival and at departure, in all the stations—Chateau. Thiery, Chalons, Bar-le-Duc, Commercy, the 'International' was chanted, broken every now and then by cries of 'Down with the Army!"

S W I T Z E R L A N D

The Young Socialists in Congress.

At the recent Congress of the Young Socialists of Switzerland, held at Aaron, it was decided to proclaim the complete autonomy of the organization, and to affiliate immediately to the Young Communists' International.—"Le Populaire," April 17th, 1920.

to Soviet power and on the treatment of this question before and after November 7th, 1917, would it not be ridiculous to expect from such men the readiness and ability to overcome the incomparably greater difficulty connected with the present struggle for the Socialst Revolution?

None so deaf as those who will not hear.

Let us proceed to the second lie (out of countless lies with which Ramsey MacDonald's article is full of, for in this article there are perhaps more lies than words). This lie is perhaps the most important.

John Ramsey MacDonald claims that the International before the war 1914-18 said only that "when war takes ca the character of national defense the Socialists should co-operate with other parties."

This is a monstrous, repugnant deviation from the truth.

Everybody knows that the Basle Manifesto of 1912 was unanimously accepted by all Socialists and that this is the only one of the documents of the International which deals exactly with the very war between the English and German groups of imperialist beasts, which, as known to all, was being prepared in 1912 and finally broke out in 1914. Just in reference to this war the Basle Manifesto expounds three points, upon which MacDonald remaining silent, commits the greatest crime against Socialism and proves that with men of MacDonald type the split is necessary, because they actually serve the bourgeoisie and not the proletariat.

These three points are the following:

The impending war can find no justification by even a shadow of interest of national free-

It would be a crime for workers to shoot each

other in this war; The war leads toward the proletarian revolu-

These are the three fundamental truths, "forgetting" which (though he subscribed to them before the war) MacDonald ACTUALLY goes over to the side of the bourgeoisie against the proletariat, proving thereby that the split is necessary.

The Communist International will not unite with parties, which do not wish to recognize these truths and are not capable of proving by their deeds their determination, readiness and ability to inculcate these truths into the minds of the masses.

The Versailles Peace has proven even to fools and blind people, even to the mass of shortsighted, that the Entente was and remains the same bloody, bullying, imperialist beast as Germany. Only hypocrites or liars, deliberately introducing bourgeois policies, direct agents and tools of the bourgeoisie, labor lieutenants of the capitalist class (like the American Socialists) or, men who have fallen under the spell of bourgeois ideas and bourgeois influence so that they are Socialists only in words but actually are petty-bourgeois philistines and sycophants of the capitalists could fail to see this. The dinstinction between the first and second categories is important only from the point of view of personalities, i. e. for the appraisal of John or Peter in the social-patriotic ranks of all countries. From the political point of view, i. c. from the point of view of the relations of millions of people, of the relations of classes this distinction is of no importance.

The Socialists, who during the war of 1914-19 did not understand that on both sides, a criminal reactionary, robbers', imperialist war,-are Social-Chanvinists, i. e. Socialists in words and chauvinists in fact; friends of the working class in words, but in fact lackeys of "their" national bourgeoisie, helping them to deceive the masses by picturing as "national," "liberating," "defensive," "just", etc., the war between the English and German groups of imperialist plunderers, who are equally rotten, corrupt, bloody and criminally reactionary.

Unity with Social-Chauvinists is a betrayal of the revolution, betrayal of the proletariat, betrayal of Socialism, desertion to the side of the bourgeoisie,—because, it is a "unity" with the national bourgeoisie of "their" country against the unity of the international revolutionary proletariat,—it is a unity with the bourgeoisie against the proletariat.

The war of 1914-18 has finally proven this. Whoever cannot understand this can remain in the yellow Berne "international" of social-traitors (From the "Communist International", No. 4.)

(To be continued.)

A LETTER FROM CHICAGO

(Editor's Note: This letter was received soon after the split, but for lack of space was not printed in the last issue. The inference drawn in this letter about "unity with the "left elements" of the S. P. together with he C. L. P. is more than a wild guess. Certain facts recently come to light and touched on editorially, make this more than a mere conjecture of the author. When all the facts are in, we have no doubt they will prove that the plot to split the Communist Party was hatched in the office of the C. L. P. between their representatives and the representatives of Damon & Co.)

Scarcely eight months have passed since the

Scarcely eight months have passed since the Communist Party was founded. The formation of the organization not yet finished—the paths of its activities still new and untrodden—and already a crisis is at hand, A SPLIT is here.

This, no doubt, is agitating the mass of the membership, and is observed with satisfaction from the camps of our enemies.

Naturally, everybody wants to know the cause. Is it really impossible for those who appeal to all workers in all lands for solidarity and unity—for those who claim to recognize proletarian dictatorship and armed insurrection—to live and work together in harmony?

Very important reasons must be shown by those who stand for a split at this moment: who take upon themselves this great responsibility at the moment when the Third International comes out with a proposal for unity into one party even those Communist elements which stand outside—chiefly in the ranks of the Communist Labor Party

The National Executive Secretary together with The National Executive Secretary together with two other members of the Central Executive Com-mittee have taken upon themselves this responsib-ility, as against the other members of the C.-E. C.— an overhelming majority of ten. Yet the Secretary had the funds of the party, the addresses and other connections, which were all seized by the "minor-ity" and helped them greatly in the disorganizing of the party. of the party.

of the party.

The Secretary claims to be acting quite properly, because, elected directly only by the Convention, he says, he will be responsible only to a convention. But the funds were entrusted to him not by the Convention, but by the Central Executive Committee—for the sake of greater efficiency. Why doesn't he return them where they belong? Well,—because "the majority has means enough—from the Language Federations remittances..." (as stated by the Secretary himself.)

The split is still more unjustified because the next convention of the Party was right at the door. The split was brought about for an obvious purpose—the "minority" on their hook attempted to arrange this convention in order to turn over the Communist Party into hands of the Communist Labor Party.

the Communist Party into hands of the Communist Labor Party.

The "minority" claims, that the break was forced not by any theoretical or tactical differences; but by minor details, which taken seperately were quite big heap during the last eight months: for example, the Secretary questions the right of the C. E. Committee to remove District Organizers whom he had appointed; he is dissatisfied with the sending of delegates to Europe (to meetings of the Third International), although such delegates were elected at the September Convention; and other minor matters. minor matters.

elected at the September Convention; and other minor matters.

But, neither individually, nor all together, can they justify the split. What kind of a Central Executive Committee would it be, which could not appoint or remove its agents (the District Organizers) entirely according to its own discretion and necessity? How could such a Committee discharge the trust placed in it by the Convention if it should only pay salaries of the District Organizers, but would let them work each according to his own will and sometimes against the decisions of the Central Executive Committee?

A mistake was already made at the September Convention, when, besides the Central Executive body there was elected another independent executive power in the person of the Secretary. It appears that there has been more or less friction right along between these two powers.

Just now the split is in the process of developing fully—from the top down to the bottom—to the subdistricts, branches and groups. Good wishes, good intentions, hysterical moralizations cannot stop it—the split has a logic of its own and it must run use course.

the split has a logic of its own and it must run its course.

the split has a logic of its own and it must the its course.

It is very possible, that together with the Secretary of the Communist Party will leave those elements, who by their sympathy already stand with the C. L. P. In the triumvirate of the "minority" there is, for example, a member who took part in the so-called Anarcho-Menshevik Russian Conference (Jan. and Feb. 1919), and who was so-characterized even by Gregory Weinstein—at that time, editor of the Novy Mir.

A similar division is noticeable in the branches. If discussions should be conducted on the real issues and principles involved, it can be fortold in advance that the Communist Party of America will emerge from this crisis clarified and strenghteneds. If Centrist elements split off, it is only a desirable process.

process.

Let us not forget the conclusions arrived at by the International Conference in Holland, namely, that "opportunists (to which belong all Centrists without exception—St. R.) are the most dangerous enemies of the proletarian revolution when in their tendant they can toward the Left."

Therefore, it is well that they go. It would be a had thing for the movement only incase—by various hooks and crooks—the Centrists should pull away with them some really Communist elements. That would be a real loss.

This argument about Centrists is not an invention but an actual fact. One of the members of the C. E. C., a close friend and partner of the Secretary, had resigned some time ago for the reason that the could not get used to the new conditions in the Party. He represented the so-called "legalist" group, and he is with the "minority."

Further, the Communist Labor Party in their official organ express satisfaction at the split and really invite the "minority" into their ranks. They write in their May issue, among other things, as follows: "Unity between this (the splitting-off minority" and the C. L. P. should come very shortly."

And so, the right wing of the Communist Party This argument about Centrists is not an invention

And so, the right wing of the Communist Party And so, the right wing of the Communist Party will unite with the left wing of the Communist Labor Party—and we shall have something like the Independent Socialists in Germany. The right wing of the C. L. P., however, in all probability, obeying the invitation of Debs, will go back to the old Socialist Party, which, you know, has also decided to join the Third International and has applied for a charter. In the extreme Left there will remain the Communist Party—the Gray representative of Bolshevism in the revolutionary working class movement of America.

St. R.

PARTY MATTER

SOME DECISION OF THE LAST C. E. C. MEETING

JEWISH FEDERATION QUESTION (EXTRACTS FROM THE MINUTES)

(EXTRACTS FROM THE MINUTES)

1. Not being opposed to the Jewish branches which took the side of the "majority" holding a convention of their own, we instruct these branches not to participate in any convention together with Jewish branches which have taken a definite stand with the "minority."

2. To appoint a provisional committee to organize the "majority groups of the Jewish Federation with instructions to issue in Jewish translations of the statement and other important C. E. C. communications relating to the present split in the party.

the party.
8. To instruct the Jewish Provisional Committee to call as soon as possible and practicable, a con-vention of all those branches of the Communist Party who will re-affirm their affiliation with and allegiance to, the Party as represented by its

allegiance to, the Party as represented by its C. E. C.

4. That the Jewish Provisional Committee work under the supervision and control of the C. E. C. of the Party, and that its members cannot be members of any official body of the former Jewish Federation at same time; and, if they are now, they should immediately upon acceptance of their appointment withdraw.

QUESTION OF FORMER EXECUTIVE
SECRETARY

That we instruct the Executive Council to issue and publish a resolution branding former Executive Secretary Damon. for all his treacherous acts against the Party,—for his seizure of Party funds and records, for continuing to sign as the Executive Secretary without any authority, for issuing his paper under the Party name and Seal, etc., etc.

INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

1. To write to the Amsterdam Bureau repudiating, as entirely unauthorized, the participation of M....., in the Feb. 3—8 International Conference at Amsterdam, in the name of the Communist Praty of America.

2. L. Fraina theses on "The Coming Elections," proposed for discussion and action by the Communist Party.

After some discussion the following resolution

munist Praty of America.

2. L. Fraina theses on "The Coming Elections," proposed for discussion and action by the Communist Party.

After some discussion the following resolution was adopted unanimously:

"Whereas, recommendation in the letter of Comrade L. Fraina of March 10, 1920, to nominate and campaign for a presidential candidate is contradictory to Communist principles and tactics as enunciated and incorporated in the Communist Party program and tactics adopted at the First Convention of the Party; and, Whereas, besides being against a change in the program along the line suggested by Comrade L. Fraina, the C. E. C. of C. P. has no authority to make such a change;—

Therefore, be it resolved to refer Comrade Fraina's letter to the coming Party Convention for consideration under the proper order of business.

COMING PARTY CONVENTION

Tentative order of business (Agenda) drawn up for the coming convention (printed on first page), to be submitted through regular party channels for discussion among the groups, branches, subdistricts and district electors meeting.

Note: Other decisions pertaining to the coming Party convention are being transmitted to membership through regular Party channels.

REPORT OF DISTRICT ORGANIZERS AND FEDERATIONS

District 1. (New England).

Entire District Committee with exception of Jewish, with the C. E. C. Lowell, Haverhill and Lawrence English groups with the C. E. C. Polish comrades with C. E. C. Comrade Smith (former District Organizer) now organizer for English groups in District, working under supervision of District Organizer. About twenty four Letts with "minority" and elected 7 electors to "minority" statements and papers are distributed in this District Convention. C. L. P. in Mass. knew of split even before the District Comm. "Minority" statements and papers are distributed in this District through C. L. P. channels. All other party units with C. E. C.

District 2 (New York, New Jersey, Cons.).

District Committee with C. E. C. Sub-district Committees of N. Y. City,

and New Jersey with C. E. C., membership in Conn., Upper N. Y. State and New Jersey solidly with C. E. C. About 60% of English groups in New York City with C. E. C. and of the remaining 40% many are still neutral with a few groups for "minority. Esthonian branch and about five German branches with "minority" in New York City. No information about Polish branches, as there seems to be a split in the Polish Federation C. E. C. Jewish br. 50—50. Romaining membership with C. E. C. comprising about 90% of the district. District 3 (Eastern Penn.).

Out of nine hundred members only a little over one hundred (mostly Jewish and a few Germans and Esthonians with "minority." Russian District Convention with C. E. C. Baltimore Subdistrict sent in resolution against "minority." Lithuanians also with C. E. C. and New Jersey with C. E. C., membership in Conn.,

District 4A and 4B (Pittsburg and Cleveland).
Pittsburg 26 branch organizers solidiy with C. E. C. at meeting where Damon spoke, with exception of one South Slavic organizer, but So. Slavs are splitting. Cleveland, Damon's stronghold, Letts turned back to C. E. C. after hearing report of Federation representative. 30 Lithuanian groups withdrew their delegates to "minority" convention. Germans not all lost. About 80% in Cleveland with C. E. C.

District 4C (Detroit).

District Committee, including Jewish with C. E. C. Fisher ("minority") was able to get only 12-Bethonian, 20 German, 15 Armenian members and Lithuanian representative to go with him in entire district. But Lithuanians are all with C. E. C. All others with C. E. C. All others with C. E. C

All others with C. E. C.

District V. (Hilluds, etc.).

Membership led into split blindly—did not know any facts. Letts in Chicago solidly with C. E. C. Russians will stand with C. E. C. in Chicago; at their Sub-district conference they voted 5 for C. E. C. 8 for neutrality and 5 not voting. None for "minority."

Lithuanians and Ukrainians O. K.; stronghold branch of Jewish Federation in Chicago with C. E. C. Polish Federation C. E. C. decided against taking part in the "minority" convention (4 to 3); Kenosha. Milwaukees and Racine with C. E. C. Minneanells Letts with C. E. C. Kansas City not with "minority." Defense Committee of Chicago, with about \$1300.00 in hands of Isaacs.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

with "minority." Defense Committee of Chicago, with about \$1300.00 in hands of Isaacs.

RUSSIAN FEDERATION

All District Conventions (except Boston which is neutral—in principles with C. E. C.—and Chicago District Convention not yet held but in process of turning back to their Federation) with the C. E. C. Milwaukee, Kansas City, Kenosha and St. Louis with C. E. C. Central Committee of Russian Federation issued ultimatum to all branches to take definite stand with C. E. C. or would be expelled. Initiated Federations Conference with the result that Russian, Lettish. Ukrainian and Lithuanian Federations adopted strong resolution for C. E. C. and against the "minority."

LITHUANIAN FEDERATION

Solid with C. E. C. Some branches elected delegates to "minority" withdrew their delegates when informed of the facts.

LETTISH FEDERATION

All branches solidiv with C. E. C. and fighting the "minority." In Cleveland they withdrew from "minority" upon report of their Federation representative unavoidably absent (missed address). But report from Federation is excellent. Whole Federation golidly with C. E. C.

"MAJORITY" ELEMENTS OF JEWISH

reasons for this rise in prices is the American monopoly of bread and raw materials. Should English Imperialism succeed in reorganizing the Russian transportation system and in receiving cheap bread from Russia in exchange for industrial products, it hopes to master the revolutionary crisis at home. crisis at home.

crisis at home.

But does it not raise before the leaders of English Imperialism the question of the possibility of strengthening Soviet Russia by coming to an agreement with it? To this question asked of Lloyd George by a portion of the bourgeois press he gives an answer which reduces itself to the following: "It is impossible to build anything stable on the basis of Communism. Society can exist only on the basis of private property and private initiative. The Communist danger lies not that Communist may forever replace Capiexist only on the basis of private property and private initiative. The Communist danger lies not in that Communism may forever replace capitalist society but in the destructiveness of revolutions. After the period of destruction, however, each country returns to capitalism. And Russia will also return to it, and return the sooner it will enter into commercial relations with the capitalist world. Through the "concessionaire" factories, foreign capitalists will prove to the Russian workers that capitalism is better than Communism. Should the blockade be lifted, commerce will be carried on not only with the Soviet Government; speculators (profiteers) will be able to build secret apparatus of commerce with forein capital which will destroy the whole economic policy of the Soviet Power. And should the Soviet Power—unconquerable by force of arms—not have crumbled in a peaceful economic struggle, it must regenerate, become a power, reconciling the interests of the capitalistic farmer with the interests of the worker on the basis of exchange economics. Thus, by concluding peace with Soviet Russia it is possible to hope for success in the struggle not only against the English, but also against the Russian revolution."

This is the trend of thought of the leaders of English Imperialism while entering into relations

This is the trend of thought of the leaders of English Imperialism while entering into relations with us. Not considering it our duty to enlighten the English ministers, we may decline to criticize their opinions which we only cited in order to show our readers the reasons of the English peace policy toward Russia.

ENGLISH PEACE—IS A CONTINUATION OF ENGLISH WAR AGAINST SOVET RUSSIA WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF ECONOMIC WEAPONS.

The possibility of a victory or defeat of this English policy depends upon the degree of disorganization of the capitalist economic system of England and the organization of the Communist economic system of Russia.

(Translated from the Moscow "Pravda," No. 81, of April 17, 1920.)

After the S. P. Convention no one need be in doubt as to what Hilquit meant when he once said: "We will fight like tigers on the barricades!" For further particulars apply to Ebert-Scheidemann-Noske & Co., Berlin.

Seven thousand dollars is a high price to pay for getting rid of Damon, Isaacs, Langley & Co., —but the members must bear in mind the High Cost of Splitting this year.

Except for Damon's stealing of party funds and property the whole affair would be "side-spitting."

The only difference between Harold Lord Varney and Isaces (Y. F.) is—Varney got ten cents a word for his.

"The Toiler," official organ of the C. L. P. of Ohio, invites Engdall, Kruse, Tucker & Co. to unite with them; the "Communist Labor," official organ of the N. E. C. of the C. L. P., invites Damon, Isaacs, Langley & Co. to unite with them. Which, reminds us of the watchword of the Independents of Germany: "Widest possible fraternity to Right and Left, but preservation of principles." The P in preservation is silent, of course.

"Members of the Communist Labor Party whose love for Debs overshadows their loyalty to Communist principles should, in justice to our party and to themselves, resign from the Communist Labor Party." Extract from a declaration adopted May 1st by the N. E. C. of the C. L. P. Suggrestion to the C. L. P. for their next convention: Elect Debs on their N. E. C. and preserve the membership of their party,

The funny thing about these unity fiends is that the only thing that they can agree upon is unity, and in order to have "unity" as a constant issue, they can never "unite," since no two of them agree upon anything more fundamental than "unity." (From the Cleveland District Organizer.)

CONVENTION CALL

(Continued from page 5..).
in this manner, and AT THE CONVENTION CALLED BY THE C. E. C. can all real ocumunist forces be brought together into one party convention.

vention.

DON'T BE FOOLED BY THE BRIEE OF AN "IMMEDIATE" CONVENTION THE PURPOSE OF WHICH IS TO CONFUSE THE ISSUES.

Wait for the convention call to be issued by the C. E. C. giving all necessary data as to time, place and method of elections (consistent with the proper secrecy which must be maintained in order to make the convention a success). The party units will receive full information through the regular party channels.

WAT FOR THE CONVENTION CALL OF THE CENTRAL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

(Signed:) Central Executive Committee of The Communist Party.

D. Bunte, Acting Secretary.

A SIGNIFICANT LETTER

(Continued from page 3..). it had not a purely mendicant character, then both

Comrade Martons and his Bureau would have become the center of proletarian spirit and sympathy (though, undoubtedly, he would have lost a great deal of sympathy of liberals and highly-situated personages); the activity of the Bureau would not go on so listlessly and colorlessly with such deep indifference to it from the even more conscious elements of the masses of the working class (we are not speaking here of the party elements). True, it is quite possible, that he might have been deported much earlier that he may be under the present circumstances (if at all), -but then, his deportation, and the very preparations for it—his examination, trials, etc., etc.,—would not have passed so unnoticed and so quietly as is now the case . . .

But alas! Instead of the uncompromising Com-munist way, Comrade Martens chose the humiliating way of compromise and back-stairs diplomacy. Instead of listening to Communist organizations, he selected as his political advisers and guides such "opportunists of the worst kind" as Comrade Rut-gers puts it, as Nuorteva, Hilquit and others (we are not referring in this instance to non-partisan "experts," whose work in the Soviet Bureau is limited by the more or less narrow sphere of their specialties and who do not interfere with the political activities of the Bureau). Those—pardon the expression—"political advisers" were directing the whole activities of the Bureau, spoke in the name of and for Comrade Martens, showed him off to Senators whenever they found it necessary,in a word, conducted themselves in the Bureau as if everything in it, including Comrade Martens himself, was their property...

And lately, these "political advisers" becoming bolder, openly (secretly they were doing this all the time) used their prestige and connections with the Bureau in the service of a contemptible, slanderous campaign carried on by the Right Wing Socialists - in approved Scheidemann fashion against the Communist Party and its leading figures; contaminating whatever they thouch, these advisers from the camp of the putrescent Socilast Party of America, are poisoning with their breath even the Soviet Bureau, putting it in danger of becoming the center of Scheidemannism of the worst kind!

Whether the Soviet Bureau will escape the danger threatening it, whether it changes its "line of behavior,"-de don't undertake to predict. Only the future will show. This will depend to a great extent of course, upon whether or not the same "political advisers" and pilots will remain at the helm of the

As to the question of a "capitalist peace" between the United States (and other capitalist countries) and Soviet Russia, to which Comrad Rutgers refers in his letter, we reserve this for a special article at some future date. *

** The reader will find references to this question in the International Secretary's report published in the last issue and to the Thesis on May 1st which due to lack of space, will be published in the Supplement.

POLICY OF ENGLAND

(Continued from page 2.).

demobilization of the armies had put social pro-blems as the 'next order of business," when revo-lutionary fermentation among the workers had shown to the English Government that the vic-torious countries were themselves not free from the menaco of Bolshevism—then the struggle against Soviet Russia took on primarily a social character.

The capitalist class of England decided to crush Soviet Russia as the center of the world revolution. Even then Lloyd George doubted the possibility of armed victory over Soviet Russia, but the majority of the English bourgeoisie, blinded by their hatred and fear, took the point of view expressed by the first councillor of the British Embassy at Petrograd, Mr. Linley, in his letter to Curzon in these words: "They should be treated as pariahs."

as pariahs."

The crushing defeats of Kolchak, Yudenitch and Denikine by the Red Army proved to the English bourgeoisie how right was Lloyd George when he opposed the military adventure. The English bourgeoisie understood then that it would not make the crushing directly the very little of the complete of the complet nngusn bourgeoisie understood then that it would not succeed in crushing directly the revolutionary center in the East. She then decided to turn her energies to crushing the revolutionary elements at home. Succeeding there, she would have time enough to turn her attention to Soviet Russia later.

time enough to turn her attention to Soviet Russia later.

From this point of view, the change in the English policy towards Russia in military terms signifies the following: Since to a great extent the offensive on Soviet Russia failed because an ally of Soviet Russia was working in the rear of English Imperialism—the English working class—English Imperialism decided to strengthen its rear for the present through a victory over the English workers. To attain this victory—and here we are dealing with the peculiar flexibility of Hnglish politics—English Imperialism decided to utilize precisely peace relations with Soviet Russia. Establishment of peace relations is intended to pacify the English workers united under the slogan: "Hands off Soviet Russia," and is also intended to lay the foundation for a lasting pacification of the English proletariat.

The main reason for the peaceful character of the English labor movement during the last decade has been the low prices for the means of existence. Parallel to the growth of high cost of living during the last years before the war was a growing fermentation and unrest among the English workers. The main source of the present revolutionary fermentation in England is the rise of prices after the conclusion of peace. One of the