"PHILOSOPHY AND REVOLUTION" Nov. 12, 1985

2nd Lecture

Broadway Presbyterian Church/Columbia University

Because revolution is themen a subject -- men and women bursting forth spontaneously against some aspect of what is -- let's turn the subject of this talk around, from P & R to Rev. and Phil. I'm not capitulating to the empiricists, at the contract the contract the present from practicely is what I saw in/Hegel's Absolute Idea, as being the unity of practice as well as theory. And that philosophy of revolution, when he hark had singled out from both the Hegelian dialectic and the action of the weavers in 18483-44 when he broke with capitalist society and transformed.....

What is new in our age is that the category of movement from practice was created on the eve of the 1st exact ever revolt against Russian totalitarianism in East Germany.

In a small word, there is a before and a after to the relation of philosophy and revolution.

Yes, the revolution bursts out spontaneously, and no philomosopher can possibly tell you ahead of time. But something is "in the air"; it comes from philosophy's anticipation of what the masses are working out on their own, and when it bursts out, they know, if they're revolutionaries that they become part of better her/what did arise spontaneously, and do so critically. If that critically is only stupidly factional, watch for the fall-out. And philosophically, they really cannot work out the whole of it until after-eard sometimes long after.

Mistorically, let us look at 1905:

with Hegel's definition of the relationship of thought to reality:

"The Idea is rather absolute, active as well as actualism. And, on the other hand, actuality is not so bad and irrational as it is supposed to be by the practical men, who are either without thought altogether or have quarrelled with thought and been worsted in the contest."

Paragraph 142 Science of Logic p. 258

The actuality of 1905, whether you begin with January and the Bloody Sunday which resulted from Fr. Gapton's march to the "Little Pather", the Czar, or consider the turning point to be not before the creation of the soviets in Fall, no one ever questioned the spontaneity of the eruption. When the Marxists were still arguing whether or how to participate, of all things, in something the priests started, and even the most active who at once got into it, recognizing it as the greatest action against czarism, no one really had a philosophy of revolution. What

"party", no matter which faction you were in.

Take the month of May, when this first tokally new form,
the seviet, resulted in a great textile strike. It still
simply meant council, as a trade union council, which all
"proved" how bbackward the workers were who had no unions yet

while western Europe did. By the time that strike spread into a general strike, with the printers' demand for shorter working hours, it became too clear that this was no ordinary trade union

council, that they were making political decisions, and of all things they were taking decisions, to have their own paper, IZVESTIA. And it was demanding constitutional and personal freedom.

heady days of the Soviet, in what he called 1905, but it was pure description of what the workers were really doing, and the inclusion of the attack on the czar's manifesto was naturally prominently quoted, but no such phrase appeared or thought of though the phrase "skipping over capitalism" in there, the date when someone used the expression "permanent revolution" was 1907. The person was a Menshevik, and I though LT immediately accepted the phrase, he voted against Lenin's demand to have a discussion of the "nature of the present moment" of revolution" at the 1907 RSDWP congress.

phasize his vote with the Mensheviks

The greatest new dimension of 1905 was enunciated by
Luxemburg when she contristed the 1905, as distinct from
1848-49, as the first of a new series of revolutions which
were not confined to first needing to breakaway from
the bourgeois democratic front. It led her to create so
strong a category of spontaneity that she was wrongly
characterized as the advocate of spontaniety, as if
that mean forget the openly Marxist organization, of
which she was a leader. What was great about her
singling out spontaniety, was the demolishing of the
concept of the backwardness of the proletariat, it
supposed political immaturity "in the East" as contrasted
ists of advanced
to the organized trade union/seresterms. Germany.

The whole phrase, "philosophically" correct but factually prong — \$1905 was the dress rehersal for 1917 — was not said then, nor even thought about. It was 1st said when 1917 came.

But what I referred to as being in the air" was in the air was i

that Marx had participated in and analyzed -- and she certainly had the matinct of genius on the question of imperialism, from 1910 on -- the point was a barrier remained by having spontaneity let free her from the concept of the Party, and disregard of

philosophy, as if the political theory were in itself sufficient.

II. ? 1919

RL, the great revolutionary activists and theorist, which before 1905, the sense of genius in perceiving which she will much later develop as Accumulation of Capital, ie, really meaning imperialism. MARTINIQUE, 1902, far from haing having experienced a volcano as if it were only a natural but deadly used event, was manual by her for the attack against the opportunism against Kautsky and Bebel and the GSD in general. Indeed, this would become, by 1910 during the so-called Morocco incident, as the break with the Second Internatl. and indeed the 1910 was the high point of manuals making historic canclusions against opportunism. You then also saw in a new light all the disputes with Kautsky on so-called "2 strategies", that of overthrow was attriction; So that what sounded as if it were only a phrase to the GSD: STE know revolution you have to leafn to speak Russian.

theiright wing has made of it, as if it were a rejection, was in fact the perception of what comes after and how crucial prelation demogracy was in proletarian and socialist societies:

was fin fact the dialecties of revolution in 1917.

THE GERMAN REVOLUTION HAS BEGUN

The proof, of course, of her identification with reverlution, with 1917, was the 1919 revolution, with its workers and soldiers councils, with her speech at the founding congress of the German Communist Party.

Communists tof the 1919 Revolution. Keep in mind that she was imprisoned the 1914 imperialist warand it took the actual outbreak of the revolution, November 1919, for the masses to open the prison gates of Breslau, and free RL. And she went straight from prison to an outdoor mass meeting, to declare that with the 1st mutiny maximal in August which was rpeated in October 1918 at the Keil naval base, to hail the German Revolution and never stop for a second until she was but brutaally murdered.

SOCIALIST Society mean full Haran

Ou hen I referred to the slandgrous campaign of the

NIVISTO

Communists, I really meant Stalinists long after the 1919 Beauty volutionand long after benin's death. He himself not only hailed her as the eagle she was, all differences notwithstanding, but also refused to consider the defeat, the beheading of the German revolution, as the end of the development of the Russian Revolution into a world revolution. Instead, his expression was: "IF NOT THROUGH BERLIN, THEN PERHAPS THROUGH PEKING"

Theses on & Colonial
1920 is when the National Question spelled out thereway
national revolutions not only as the bacillus for revolution,
as he did with the Irish uprising that preceded \$1917, but

what would follow, no matter which country it was in. That is to say, he then asked for the Marxists in India, in China, in Persia, and, and, and...what all refused to see as a nation, because the had no territory or language of its own-THE NEGRO IN THE U.S.

Let's probe that a little deeper, and at the same time let us keep in mind what the Italian revolution was doing, and how Gramsci in his Prison Notebooks was uniting so integrally the dialectics of revolution as fact and as thought

- parted in prable

"Philosophy of PRAXIS..."

(In his Critique of Bukharin's "Historical Materialism")-p.318, PAR

Our age -- all the new that has happened in the post-WW II
world, especially the exemption recognition of the Third
World as a World, is actually what warx in his last decade left

of the feen December 1985 of the feel 1985 of the feen December 1985 of the feel 1985

nexe us a trail to in his E

what Fanon did in calling his philosophy a "new Humanism" was the projection of, no matter how we must leave white civilization to its own devices, and start anew, we really do not mean to leave it alone. We all must work out new human relations, and Marx's philosophy of revolution was exactly what this world needs. The proof of how the Universal he thus expounded remarked as the Idea of freedom for our age. If we turn to the latest undleclared

eivil war in South Africa, we will see the indispensibility of that.

Start with 1976, Steve Biko, just before he was brutally murdered, defining what he meant by Black Consciousness, as the affinity FR of FF, Soweto and American Black thought.

NAL. Oct. 1985 - QQQ

Rad with WLDoR-p. 15