

Present: All except Dave, excused; Jane as sitter-in

1. Carrying out Convention Decisions and non-l. Report by Raya on week of work on New Book; 2. Discussion; I. Carrying out Convention Decisions and Ramifications: 3. Checkup on Eulletins sent out; 4. Proposed calendar for Classes; 5. Relationship of Convention Perspectives to Local Ongoing Activities: III. G&W Work; II.

I. Before Rava began her presentation, she welcomed both Kevin who had just arrived to be part of the Center, and Bob, who, while not yet officially moved, was able to be present for this meeting. Rabegan by saying she certainly does not want the new book to be called only "WI -- a Collection of Essays" since that does not re-Raya. called only "WL -- a Collection of Essays" since that does not reflect either the 35 year span or the internationalism, much less what is of the essence, that it is not only a Women's Liberation book but a Marxist-Humanist study of four forces of revolution -- Women, Labor, Black, Youth -- to which philosophy is central. She appealed to all to help her find the title by the end of the month, since that is when the entire manuscript must be sent to the publisher.

Because philosophy will be so central, Raya wanted to have the following quote from Hegel's Phenomenology be considered a "frontispiece ":"For the real subject-matter is not exhausted in its purpose, but in working the matter out: nor is the mere result attained

but in working the matter out; nor is the mere result attained through the whole itself, but the result along with the process of

argiving at it." (p. 69 Baillie edition) arriving at it." (p. 69 Baillie edition)

The four Parts into which
The whole was divided are I WOMAN, LABOR AND THE BLACK DIMENSION.

This may not sound new in the sense that they are categories we have always stressed. What is new, nevertheless, is both the fact that it does not Dollow in chronological order and Women's Liberation in the sense in which it became popular in the 1960s is only implicit in the story of the miners' wives. That is precisely the point in the story of the miners' wives. That is precisely the point that when it become a category over a whole series of articles that span the 35 years, the totality is seen even though it is only implicit. Thus, let me read the first few paragraphs:

"What distinguished the series and uniqueness of this age's Women's Liberation

"What distinguishes the newness and uniqueness of this age's Women's Liberation
Movement is that the 1950s signified, at one and the same time, a
new stage of production -- Automation -- and a new movement from
practice that was itself a form of theory. It distinguishes this
age from that of all others, whether that be the ancient pre-capitalist societies when women like the Iroquois had some freedoms
greater than women in the technologically advanced industrial societies, or the 19th century when women, even when only fighting
for the vote, nevertheless named their paper "Revolution"; or
whether it be the early 20th century when revolution women Marxists fount alongside the men against the whole capitalist system. ists fought alongside the men against the whole capitalist system.
In a word, that new movement from practice of our age related not only to a new stage of production but to a new stage of cognition.

"The essays collected here cover the whole 35 wear span since this movement from practice emerged, but are not presented in a chronological order. Rather, each of the four Parts; comprises the whole three decades. Thus, while Fart I -- "Women, Labor and the Black Dimension " -- begins with an article written

REB,

man as Reason as well as Revolutionary Force" was a category, the section returns all the way back on "The Miners' Wives", and ends with a talk World Women's Conference during the Marx centenary had chosen to write about the miners' wives, who action in 1950, precisely because that historic strike* had signalled a new stage of cognition as age of production by raising the question of what can beings should do and asking why there was such the entitle and doing. I had singled out the ears on strike as I was reporting from the field, because that new forces of revolution were emerging—but in women and youth not in production. Thus a in production and not in production that I took up the capable of the ca

The Black dimension is especially critical. Inas slavery days it was the Black revolt that gave
to the American character in the creation of Abolily, I do not limit the essays here either to the
S.; it is our age and the whole world we are conwas the 1960s that, in both independent and apartin inspired by the Montgomery Bus Boycott arose in
it was likewise in the 1960s that Black women
he new Women's Liberation Movement here.

"Each of the parts that follow 'Women, Labor and the -- whether that concerns a concrete organizational ly abstract, philosophic category -- discloses a lal uprooting of this exploitative, racist, sexisting from the anti-Vietnam War movement and the beart within sectation to the present search for a clution to meet the challenge of the ongoing revoution and its freedom Schools stimulated so new a tion system in elite universities in the North ech Movement was born at Berkeley ..."

there will be a reference which singles out the rewritten in the period when the Miners General and points out that they are included in A 1980s iners General Strike of 1949-50 and the Birth of

IONARIES ALL. Not only is this part inclusive of he masses in motion who initiated the 1917 Revoluwomen who were not theoreticians and leaders, as moriam to Natalia Sedova Trotsky, but the totally de I do hope Humanities does not put roadblocks that I include here, under her own name, Olgalian is says by introducing her as my colleague who sumes at UCAE, which I had delivered without any writh had written a summary of the decade of the WIM. I had written a summary of the decade of the WIM. I sancious to have Olga's name published in an outside action is concluded with many excerpts from Chaphy and Revolution. You will see from the atTable of Contents that Part II also includes exL on Iran and the Appendix to Working Women for

in ver fro pec to so) bre how clu

> str ten ide Wy jec of (Yo who

is

pla

us, the fro nat is mat

the tot

wil but (Jo

clo obj sub as

> ist ist

and lice have one and

16349 1984 REB, Aug. 21, I want to interupt myself here to stress why there is much in this presentation tonight that is tentative, because, in the final version, philosophy will by no means be limited to the single quote from the Phenomenology I have cited. Quite the contrary. I want especially to stress what we have not previously paid much attention to withe final section of Science of Logic, "The Idea", begins with a chapter called "Life" W Heretofore, we have stressed (and correctly so) how, in the section on the Syllogism, Lenin had recognized the breakdown of any division between objective and subjective. What, however, is not true of that section is that subjective did not include Life, Individual Life as well as Universal in the Infact, it is one of the sections in Hegel that has been quite difficult to explain -- that is, how such a subject as Life got into such an abstract system of logic as Science of Logic. I here wish to call attention to the fact that Lenin greeted that idea jubilantly: " The idea of including L i f e in logic is comprehensible -- and brilliant from the standpoint of the process of the reflection of the objective world in the 'at first individual' consciousness of man and introduction, nearly the very best description of the dialectic. I will use the references and quotations from the Russian translation but would like you to follow it in the Science of Logic (pp. 401-415) (Johnson and Struthers) Lenin makes it easier for himself, and for the science of Logic (pp. 401-415) us, by checking the chapter against Hegel's own shortened version in the Encyclopedia. In this specific case I want to quote para. 219 from Vol. 38. p. 202 again: "Encyclopaedia para. 219: ... Inorganic nature which is subdued by the living being suffers this because it is in itself the same as life is for itself. Invert it = pure materialism. Excellent, profound, correct! And also NB: shows how extremely correct and apt are the terms an sich and fur sich!!!" The point is that as Hegel develops Individual to the kind of that is, to other human beings, the whole question of totality is expressed this way: " The Process of Life which is en closed within the Individual passes over into relation to pre-supposed objectivity as such, because the Individual, in positing itself as subjective totality, becomes also the moment of its determinateness as relation to extermality, and so becomes totality." (p. 409, J&S) bere is the way Lenin summarizes the whole chapter: "Forgotten is the nodal line, the transition into a d'ifferent plane of natural phenomena. And so on. Schmerz ist eine wirkliche Existenz des Widerspruchs (Pain is actual existence of contradiction) in the living individual." Morevin the na with alit The reason I include that part on philosophy here and it will be integrated into the final vension submitted for publication is that I felt very strongly that one of the reasons we have not braspet philosophy as prefoundly as we should is that the one way or another, there have always been made "private enclaves" and I do not mean only in private life, but science, or organization one some sort of eres where suddenly one feels that Absolute Method or some sort of area where suddenly one feels that Absolute Method Because but 15 Mitre drenning men

REB, Aub. 21, 1984 -- page 4

may apply to everything else, but not to this enclave. Nothing could be further from the truth. If there is an area where your specialization dictions it is that actually means you do not understand the Absolute in Method, and why it is that Absolute Method, rather than system -- and Method not merely as a tool or means to get somewhere --is what Marxist-Humanists single out and identify with totality. Everything is subject to Absolute Negativity. Indeed, if you will remember, that's what I criticized Hegel for, denying him the privilege of stopping with God. There is no self-development and there is no revolution in permanence free of Absolute Method. And in order to make clear Part III, where I suddenly bring Organization into Sexism, Politics and Revolution, I interrupted myself after Part II.

Now then, III. SEXISM, POLITICS AND REVOLUTION -- Japan; Portugal Poland; China; the U.S. IS THERE AN ORGANIZATION-AL ANSWER?

"The whole question of organization as non-elitist and of des manding the practice of new relations right there becomes a reconnection with Mark's philosopy of 'revolution in permanence' as ground for organization. To see this as merely a question of small vs. larger organization, and of decentralization vs. centralization, to consider this only a desire for looseness or for talking of 'personal' rather than political matters, rather than the question of new beginnings, exposes the pragmatism of our age. The essence of an organizing Idea (with a capital I) -- that is to say, the philosophy of revolution -- is that the uprooting needed cannot divide theory from practice nor philosophy from organization. There can be no new society short of abolishing the division of mental and manual labor, thereby creating the conditions needed for the self-development of a whole person.

"Marx's new continent of thought and of revolution, to which 'revolution in permanence' was so central, may seem totally unconnected to the questions of the strategies of revolution, much less to the question of organization. It certainly seemed that unconnected both to the New Left in Japan today and to the revolution—aries of 1905-07 although the phrase was so much in the air that Trotsky's analysis of the 1905 St. Petersburg Soviet was dubbed by others "the permanent revolution," and he accepted with The period of the 1905-07 revolution was when the solidarity of Marxists in Japan and in Russia was firmly established as the Japanese Marxist Sen Kata yang shock the hand of the Russian Marxist Plekhanov at the International Congress during the 1904-05 Russo-Japanese War.

Why then, did it not sink in as strategy for revolution as well as ground for organization, even though, by 1917, 1905-07 was considered the dress rehearsal for 1917."

Part III not only carries that through very different countries -- be it China and the essays on Chiang Ching's two trials, or Zengakuren and the Japanese situation we know in 1966, or Portugal -- but I bring in the question of Poland through citing Urszula's essay as from 'a Folish dissident." We do not forget, however, the U.S., ending with the "Where to Now" article in 1977.

6350

·特殊 [4]

IV. THE TRAIL TO THE 1980s: THE MISSING LINK -- PHILOSOPHY -- IN THE RELATIONSHIP OF REVOLUTION TO ORGANIZATION.

First I should tell you that this was actually two parts -- a Part IV, which was originally "Today's Reality and the Relationship of Philosophy to Women's Liberation in Mark's Humanism"; and a Part V, which was "The Trail to the 1980s." I then decided I was doing the same thing in dividing Parts IV and V that I had done in the Perspectives when I divided Parts 3 and 4 -- i.e. the theory of State-Capitalism from "Not by practice alone -- the movements from Masory." I decided it was essential to unite "The Trail to the 1980s" With the whole question of Mark's total philosophy, and especially so the missing link of philosophy throughout post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled the creation of that category of post-Mark Markism, which impelled today's crises being so sharp that people look for all sorts of escapism, beginning with turning back to the homilies of the family. It gives me a chance to return to the Communist Manifesto where Mark calls for the abolition of the bourgeois family. We see that post-Mark Markism did not arise the year after Mark died; and that the Asiatic Mode of Production did not have to wait for 1882 to be found, but was found as early as the Grundrisse. Thus, the emphasis that the Ethnological Notebooks are not a break from but a continous development of Mark's philosophy of "revolution in permanence" is carried throughout this part. That is so whether we look at the essay on the Grundrisse and WI. That is so whether we look at the essay on the Grundrisse and WI. That is so whether we look at the essay on the grundrisse and WI. That is the Part where I Marking was published.

Include the paragraphs added after RLWLKN was published.

to record up to this point, though 1 definitely reported to the

REB, is the fact that I was dissatisfied with the way male chauvin
ism was present not only in men, but in women -- and that I asked the

REB to look at 5 91 of RIWIKM, where I write: "There surely was gossip

galore surrounding the breakup, and the reasons given for it ranged

from a simplistic triangle attribution to slander ous insinuations

that the sharp difference between the openness of Luxemburg's activities, and the more disciplined behavior of such a consciously organi
zation person as Jogiches led the Okhrana to discover their whereabouts
and press them. The true reason others shy away from analysis, to
this writer, is not so much the personal nature of their relationship

as it is the failure to understand their attitudes to the ongoing revolution, insofar as their individual organizational tasks were concerned." (Please note the sentence underlined here, which was not

volution, insofar as their individual organizational tasks were concerned." (Please note the sentence underlined here, which was not underlined in the book.)

The other point I reported was the emphasis that I put on the fact that though it is true that the WIM's uniqueness was that it arose in the mid-1960s from the Left and directed against the Left, it isn't true that Marrist-Humanists waited for that point to recognize the importance of WI, The fact is that even 1950 is later than what actually began the struggle against the WP leadership at the end of WWII, when I came to the defense of Revalcation whom I had always opposed politically, but insisted that their attempt to remove her as organizer when the men returned was bourgeois Peculiarly enough, the support I got from all the women is what I now realize convinced CLRJ to acknowledge the S-C tendency as a JFT. The relationship of philosophy to revolution, to organization, to human relations is what characterizes everything — and it is crucial

REB, Aug. 21, 1984 -- page 6 especially to finding the trail to the

DISCUSSION: Kevin said it was a new and quite sobering experience to be present with the REB for this kind of meeting. At the Convention we have had the Draft Thesis, to study and prepare corrections, even though the actual Thesis always presents material that is totally new. To discuss a Draft Introduction like this is a real challenge. Kevin pointed out how we had been given a still newer way to read the quote from Goethe on the tree of life being green and theory gray, which opposition Hegel had turned around as Lenin had recognized — all of which Kevin felt most relevant to the points RD had raised tonight. He was also etruck with the stress that had been given to the question of the Gelationship of philosophy to organization and to revolution, and how that had been taken up in the relationship between RL Band Gogiches It has proved more difficult to be objective when discussing a woman revolutionary; one can wind up saying it is all "personal" as Nettl does, or seeing it as only a question of sexism that RL faced. It is especially illuminating to look again, as RD did tonight, at the defense of Reva Craine after WWII, which had not been separated from the sharp attack on her politics. It was never a question only of WL but of M-Hism, in embryo, as a whole. As one who came out of the 1960s, Kevin said he could testify that simply having lived through the rise of the WII there is a tendency to think we know something precisely when we'do know the most "facts" about it. He submitted as a suggestion for a title for the works. Women's Liberation: Essays on the Dialectics of Revolution.

privilege to hear the first presentation of an Introduction given in this kind of context -- that is, so profoundly philosophic that it forced you beyond any first reading of the text. A great deal is involved in "describing" (why these particular essays were chosen to the it was in working out the actual form for grouping them that something new had emerged. The very first paragraph gives a new view on why this age! s WIN is so different from all others. You are made to see that it is the character of this age, not just of this particular movement that we are dealing with. And then in four different ways, the whole movement is traced, for each one of the farts -- that is we are shown the logic of the movement. The title of Part IV makes a powerful impact, especially when you reflize that in 1968 the Perspectives called philosophy the "missing link" right at the highpoint of the 60s that turned out to be the failure of the 60s. The presentation tonight was so sebering because you see all the pitfalls you can fall into -- and which you say that bain is the actual existence of contradiction it really him home, because whether we understand the dialectic or not, the truth is that the alalectic is working in us, like Kevin, I was also struck by the new telling of the story of Reve Craime in relationship to CLRJ suddenly deciding the pring RD into the leadership on the one hand you can say what a male chauvinist it showed CLRJ to be work in all sorts of ways. But the most telling of all to me were RD's concluding remarks on the Absolute Method and how fast you can retrogress once you go outside of that bethod and hink there is an enclave that isn't affected by it, or for which you don't have to take the Absolute Method had account. That is a most powerful continued to this presentation tonight.

just of this presentation which Mike referred to, but of having been present during the whole week while the Introduction was being worked

began with tonight — how seeing the collection of a full 35 years makes you see a million things you didn't see before. And it is not just the study of these 25 or so essays — but there were many many enters from which these had to be chosen. To study what was chosen and why would be a whole study in process itself. And it is not just that each category contains the totality; but that each article within that category contains the totality; but that each article within that category contains the totality; but that each article within that category contains the totality in the study what was chosen or development between the 1950 article and the 1983 lecture in Part I. The point to me is that it is not just that it is the end of a 35 year span that lets you see new things in what these essays represent, but that it is after RLWHKW that the totality means something very new to us. Totality has another meaning to me, as well. It means to me that this introduction cannot be entaided from the Perspectives Thesis we have not yet begun to study for from the Classes we will be having in October. In fact, to even begin to catch what is meant by RD saying that the need not to separate her original Part IV and Part V stemmed from the same kind of need she had felt not to separate "state-capitalism" from "Absolute bethod" in the Perspectives, it will be important to study the REE minutes of this meeting tonight along with the REE minutes of our very first meeting on the changes RD had introduced into the form of the Perspectives Thesis. There was one question, olga said, she wanted to raise in the formulation on p. 7 that The whole question of organization as non-elifist. becomes a reconnection with Marx's philosophy of revolution in permanence " because, whereas RD has made that reconnection, the WIM is presented as a "task that remains to be done." If yould be more correct to say that it could become a reconnection. With has not yet done so, and it is that which is at the heart of why the WIM is presented as a "task that

start with the very first paragraph of the Draft, which he felt had "structed" the WIM historically and philosophically in a very different way than ever before. There are certain moments when history and philosophy get jammed together. It happened in the 1840s in Mark's time; and in the 1950s in our age. Both we and others have talked before of many of the points the Introduction brings out:

But it is not the totality which is being projected in this Introduction. Here we are shown an objective a real moment in history.

Satuating the WIM in this way becomes the ground for the whole Introduction. It can then be situated, as it is here, globally, historially, and in relationship to revolution. We often talk of the realists we have a 1950s view. In trying to understand all that was become we have a 1950s view. In trying to understand all that was selected? What is critical is the way Mary will flow naturally out of that section. Finally, Eugene said he too, had a sugestion for possible title: "Women's Liberation: Essays on Global; Historic, Philosophic and Revolutionary Roots".

Terry: I was also struck with the very first paragraph and saw a connection with the way our 25 Year History began. But what I have always felt about the "new stage of cognition" was that it was RD's cognition that the new stage represented. On the same page Olga mentioned, I was struck with the way the "non-elitism" in the WIM's demends was brought together with Marx's philosophy of revolution in permanence as ground for organization -- but, again, what I feel is so into portant is that that is our contribution to the movement. Thus, when the question of "kind of labor" is brought up, seeing that is the contribution that could be made because we did have a new stage of cognition. I'm sure it is true that we can't disregard things when they are only implicit—but that's exactly what is so very hard. In fact, isn't philosophy precisely what helps us to make it explicit? I think these points are exactly what connects with the whole question of totality, As for the question of keeping private enclaves, I could not help but think of the pre-convention letters RD wrote to us, which I'm sure we have yet to really dig into.

reflect the kind of questions that RD had discussed tonight -- so that we were really seeing the movement "From Notes to the Philosophy of Women's Liberation." There are lots of questions still to be grasped on the question of the reorganization of the form RD was presenting. The control of the representation of the form RD was presenting. The crisis in the revelutionary movement; what distinguishes the kind of crises we face today that compelled raising the new philosophic points RD presented tonight? There is an important new category in cluded here today which came out of the method of writing RLWLKM -- that is, both the 15 letters on the process and the new paragraphs. There is no way I feel I could yet discuss the importance of what RD deem out of the method of category is the one I see as relating very directly to we wind of category is the one I see as relating very directly to new wind of category is the one I see as relating very directly to new wind of category is the one I see as relating very directly to new wind of category is the one I see as relating very directly to new wind of category is the one I see as relating very directly to new way in terms of Marxist-Humanist co-thinkers. I consider it an exciting category because I see it as including our organization in the category of "Revolutionar as All."

much to see "Marxist-Humanism" in the title of this book

much to see "Markist-Humanism" in the title of this book. Perhaps we could have a phrase something like "From a Markist-Humanist Perspectie" in the title. I had a chance to read the Draft of the Introduction very quickly before this meeting but the way it was presented tonight made a very strong impact on ma. In the letters that have been sent to our W. Committees I have always felt that WL has been presented as a life and death question for the revolutions of our age. It has made us look at ourselves in a new way. We cannot leave it as a personal prestion, The question of totality that gives form to the revolution. In that the question of totality that gives form to the revolution. In that the paragraph that has struck everyone, you cannot help but make a comparison to the way WL is presented in RLWLKW. The specificity of what the 1960s has contributed did not exist in the 1950s) I believe so. I have a lot of questions to work through, including My the question of the distatic Mode of Production is brought in and related to WL. I have always loved mark a expression on Man/Woman from his 1844. Essays and wonder if that could be included as a quote here.

No May

I. Carrying out Convention Decisions continued with Mike's report on bulletins already sent out and the third post-Convention bulletin now being prepared by L.A. to include the Organization Report and Report on Black Dimension. Because work on the Convention Summary cannot be finished until after the first issue of N&L from our new quarters is in the mail, that Summary will not be out before Sept. 15.

Olga reported on the proposed calendar for the new classes, which we wanted to start in October and end before the year-end holidays. It is proposed that we have three groups of two classes, with one week between each group. Thus, the first class would be held on Oct. 21 and the second on Oct. 28; 3rd on Nov. 11 and 4th on Nov. 18; 5gh on Dec. 2, and last on Dec. 9. Olga's convention report, expanded, would be sent out together with the syllabus for the series at the end of September. Raya noted that she was so determined for us to grapple with philosophy seriously that the syllabus would include not only the new Introduction for the new book but also readings from Dialectics of Liberation, for each class.

II. The first point in Mike's report on Correspondence was the news that the Italian review in Dimensioni had now been translated and is being excerpted immediately for this issue of N&L. Mike felt it was the most serious review by a non-Marxist he had ever read, pointing out that it grapples not early with Chapter 1 of P&R as work that none before RD had ever done before, but with Chapter 12 of RIWLKM, for the same reasons. (A copy is being sent to each local.) The best news from the locals continues to come from Detroit where they had 16 at the last local meeting, and exciting work continues around the work Janet has been doing as well as follow-through work with the Toledo strikers. The letter Diane had sent to the Youth was available for all. And Mike reported that new subs continue to come in even before our drive has officially been launched.

III. G&'I

Meeting convened 7 PM adjourned 9:45 PM

TENTATIVE TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR NEW BOOK

Part One: WOMEN, LABOR AND THE BLACK DIMENSION The WLM as Reason and as Revolutionary Force (1969-70 lectures)

The Miners' Wives (1950, The Militant)

Women in the Post-War World and the Old Radicals ; The Abolitionists (from unpublished essay, 1953

Revolution and Counter-Revolution in South Africa (N&L, 4/1960)

African Vomen Demand Freedom Now! (report from Africa, N&L 5/62)

Women's Liberation, in Fact and in Philosophy (Lecture at UCLA Women's Week, April 1973) The Black Dimension in Momen's Liberation (Lectures to MAGE, UCAE, Hunter, DePaul, Cal State, Geo. Wash. 1975-76)

The Trail from Marx's Philosophy of Revolution to Today's Women's Liberation Movements (Lecture at Third World Vomen's Conference, Urbana, April 1983)

Part Two: REVOLUTIONARIES ALL

Iran: Unfoldment of and Contradiction in, Revolution (PPL 3/79)

In Memoriam: Natalia Sedova Trotsky (N&L, Feb. 1962)

Nomen as Thinkers and as Revolutionaries (Lecture at MSU 1975 WOMAN Summary of Six Lectures to UCAE, by O.D. (November 1975) Women's Liberation in Search of a Theory, by OD (N&L 1980)

New Passions and New Forces -- the Black Dimension, the Anti-

Vietnam War Youth, Rank-and-file Labor, Yomen's Liberation (Excerpts from Philosophy and Revolution,

Part Three: FEYIFM, POLITICS AND REVOLUTION -- Japan; Portugal;
Poland; China; the U.S.: IS THERE AN ORGANIZATIONAL
ANSWER?

The New Left in Japan (Report in the Activist, 1966)

Will the Revolution in Portugal Advance? (PPL, Jan. 1976)

The Revolutionary Activity of Polish Women ,
Report from a Polish dissident (N&L, March 1982)

Chiang Ch'ing, Hua Kuo-feng in Post-Mao China (SPR, 1977) China's "Gang of Four" Trial Charade (N&L 1-2/1981)

A Hong Kong Interview: Alienation and Revolution (N&L 1966)

IVY: Where to Now? (NSL Dec. 1977) with mension from mension from mension from the many many

THE TRAIL TO THE 1980s;

Part Four: THE MISSING LINK -- PHILOSOPHY -- IN THE RELATIONSHIP OF

REVOLUTION TO ORGANIZATION

Section I.

On the Family, Love Relationships, and the New Society (Radio interview, aired over WBAI March 8, 1984)

WL and the Grundrisse (Lecture at CUNY 1974; printed in Detroit Nomen's Press, Oct. 1974)

Marx's "New Humanism" and the Dialectics of Women's Liberation in Primitive and Modern Societies (Lecture at New School 1983; Praxis International, Jan. 1984)

Section II:

Mark's and Engels' Studies Contrasted: The Relationship of Philosophy and Revolution to Women's Liberation (Draft chapter for RLVLKM on EN, N&L 1-2/1979)

15 Letters on the Process of Writing RLWLKM

april Di COS antille

Rosa Luxemburg, Women's Liberation and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution (Excerpts from Lecture in Detroit, Dec. 13, 1981)

Paragraphs added to RLTLKM after publication

Dear Friends:

The result of the "week away" with Olga was the completion of an 18 page draft of the Introduction to the new book. Thus, the news of this week begins with one item that even the convention could say nothing about. The unique Marxist-Humanist characteristics of this collection covering a 35-year span of writings on Women's Liberation are: 1. That long before the mid-1960s that gave birth to the WIM; and 2. Even before we called ourselves Marxist-Humanists, at the historic point when a new stage of production and a new stage of cognition appeared, the act of my philosophic exchange of letters occurred during the Miners' Strike. In a word, the concepts I was working out in those letters are implicit in that 1950 article on the miners' wives that is included in this collection; indeed, I make a footnote to the listing of the letters included in our new pamphlet on the historic Miners' General Strike.

Only now have I become conscious of the "coincidence" bettween the date when the state-capitalist tendency was renamed the
Johnson-Forest Tendency, and the date when I suddenly gained adherents among the women who supported my opposition to the %P post-war
act of replacing the women organizers with returning men, which I
considered completely bourgeois. In a word, it was not the work I
had done on Russia as a state-capitalist society or any of the other
theoretical work I had been doing, but the fact that I suddenly in
1945-6 had an organized group, that led CLRJ to bother to include my
name.

More than the second point about the creation of a JFT is involved in this collection of essays. It is that <u>each</u> of the four parts of the collection -- and in many respects, <u>each</u> of the articles, manifest a <u>totality</u> of Marxist-Humanist philosophy because of the form-mediation in which these articles appear, all of which show that it is not alone Women's Liberation but all four forces of revolution that manifest the Marxist-Humanist philosophy.

Thus, Part I --"'oman, Labor and the Black Dimension" -- at one and the same time covers the entire period from 1950 to 1983 and includes articles on the Abolitionists as well as the Third Torld and relates those four forces of revolution.

Thus, Part II -- "Revolutionaries All" -- not only includes both masses in motion and individual woman revolutionaries, but also contains a very new feature, and that is that I include two pieces by Olga under her own name, hoping for no road blocks from Humanities.

Thus, Part III -- "Sexism, Politics and Revolution -- Japan, Portugal, Poland, China, the U.S.: Is there an Organizational Answer?" -- shows the global prevalence of both male chauvinism and its opposite, women's liberation, and is so integrally related to philosophy as well as the todayness of Marxist-Humanism that the last article in this section returns to the U.S. and my

critique of the Houston Conference, "There to Now?"

"The Missing Link -- Philosophy -- in the Relationship of Revolution to Organization" -- sets the entire collection in the present as the totality of the crises leads to the retrogression and escapism seen in the homilies on the family, and at the same time focuses on the creative trail from Mary's 'new moments' to the 1980s.

You may think that you have already grasped all the new moments, and of course are aware of the fact that they are not a break from Marx's Marxism but a continuation of his self-development from the moment he discovered a new continent of thought and the of revolution. That is true in essence, but the full truth is that this collection not only also incorporates new paragraphs added to RL'LKM since publication but the totally new methodology which shows the way that missing link of philosophy in revolution, in organization, as a tendency is present even before the 1953 breakthrough on Absolute Idea and is what led, in 1973, to have "Why Hegel? The Now?" in Philosophy and Revolution, so that the 1984-85 Perspectives focus on "Not by Practice Alone, but the Movements from Theory."

Put otherwise, the Absolute Method in this selection is seen to be present everywhere, whether that be revolution in permanence as ground for organization or in Life, itself. Thus, for the first time, I have singled out that chapter on Life from the Science Of Logic. Naturally, I cannot include the whole of the presentation here. Instead, you will need to arrange a special educational for discussing my presentation to the REB and their discussion on it at the meeting of August 21. This is a necessity both for knowing the Dook and the manner in which it influences the classes.

You will see the tentative schedule for the new classes as suggested at the REB. Mike reported on the translation we had just received of the review of P&R and RUTEKM printed in the Italian publication, Dimensioni. That is especially significant about it is that this is the first time that, outside of George Armstrong Kelly's including a critique of Chapter 1 of P&R in his Retreat from Eleusis, that chapter was singled out for serious discussion in a review in a magazine. (Please read the rest of Mike's report on Ongoing Activities in the Minuxes.)

Finally, I want to report the RBB's concern with the fact that the discussions now going on around Perspectives in most locals be conducted not only as discussion of national perspectives but very tightly related to the local perspectives. Thus, the whole question of our work in solidarity Committees must be related to the way that is analyzed in the Perspectives themselves.

Yours,

Dear Raya,

I just want to call these notes, or some kind of thinking outloud process, without any thought of driving for any conclusions. In fact I think the hardest thing I face is a wanting of a conclusion, a result, an answer, as an immediacy. After the REB I went first to Lenin's Vol. 38 and found what you had read of his notes on Hegel on the section on life. Then I have gone to the Science of Logic and have been reading through that section.

(page 401) "But the anthropological and esychological side of cognition relates only to its appearance, in which the Notion for itself has not yet reached the point of having an Objectivity equal to it, that is, of having itself for Object."

I feel that I am Still very much in this appearance stage of cognition. That

is, I am perhaps within a psychological explanation of the problem. But what I want to know is whether that appearance is totally wrong, that is when you stop dead there and make it into a universal, or whether since essence does have to appear, that appearance, can become a point of departure for moving toward the Notion? Here is what I mean. I had a "shock of recognition", I know on a very low level, having absolutely nothing do to with the high philosphic shock of recognition that Lenin had, but none the less not flust a shock, but I believe a recognition that there was something very contradictory about my practice as a revolutionary if I could have this area of my personal life where I ended up treating other human beings in the sense of not loving them, and being loving to them, but of not active ly seeking a reciprocity from them, that is a dialogue with the person one is in love with. Feeling that in a sense I was acprivate anclave from them. So if one would argue that really the private enclave that you are talking about is the refusal to subject a certain part of Jone's life in here personal realitions, in your talk to the REB you had mentioned science. (or organization -- to Absolute Method, then still what comes to mind is that is the pathway wherebyone goes about doing that. Can a recognition on a psychological level, if it does not stop there, become a pathway to recognition on a much higher level? For what worries the hell out of me is that I can say yes I can see I had a private enclave not subject to method, Accept it as conclusion but not really have done the deam labor to get myself there and therefore really not have internalized it. So if my recognition is only on the level of appearance. it still is one I feel very much #Lok:

in my being, and now can I take that not to stop and say oh how guilty I feel, or oh what a terrible person I have been, but instead to take that shock and make it a pathway for moving toward Absolute?

page 410-411 "The immediate shape is the Idea in its simple Notion, (or) objectivity which is adequate to the Notion: thus it is good by nature. But since its negative moment realizes itself so as to be objective particularity, that is, since the essential moments of its unity are each realized so as to be totality. Therefore the Notion is several into absolute (mequality of itself with itself; and, since just as much it is absolute identity in this severance, the Living Entity is for itself this severance and has the feeling of this contradiction, which is pain. Pain therefore is the privilege of living natures; they are the existing Notion and therefore they are an actuality of infinite force by which they in themselves are their own negativity, and by which their negativity is for them and they preserve themselves in their otherness.—It is said that contradiction cannot even by thought;

out in the pain of the living Entity it is even an actual existence. A These internal diremption of the Living Entity is feeling, the diremption being absorbed into the simple universality of the Notion, Into sensibility. From pain that meed and impulse begin which considers the transitor by which the individual, while it is the negation of itself for itself, is also to become indentity for itself—in indentity which exists only as the negation of the negation."

I thought that quote you had read of Lenin quoting Hegel-on-"pain is actual existence of contradiction" was so magnificent. But when you get to Hegel himself what seems to me so crucial is that not only that pain proves the actual existence of contradiction but that "from pain that need and impulse begin which constitue

the transition by which the Individual., become indentify for itself -- an indentity which exists only as the negation of the negation.

So pain not only exposes the contradiction in an open way, but creates the need and impulse to the directly for me, well I am sure we all feel that, and no doubt are all correct I don't feel down in the dumps, though I do feel a lot of sadness. But I do feel very much an a need and impulse to begin a very different type of journey.

I know that when you have spoken of this over two years ago you had talked of the political ramifications both in terms of women's liberation and Black/ white in terms of my relationship to Lou. And of course organization ramifications are certainly on my mind right now, in working with the comrades in the organization. I know that how I am able to work with the comrades will determine if thouse problems can be overcome. But why I think there has be am my own individual journey through this which will ime end in being subjected to absolute method, is becket if I don't figure that one out, then somewhere sometime, a different aspect of private enclave with effect those political organizational relations with other comrades and with whatever contribution I make to the movement. I know the question "What kind of a Marxist-Humanist does this make me?" is not the right question to ask and doesn't provide any pathways out. But if I can work from the particulars of what happend through what is private enclave to what does it really mean to subject oneself to Absolute Method not as you say because it is "totalitarian" but because it is the totality of life, then that question answers itself.

Eugene

Rotes on Draft of Introduction to Collection of Essays on Women's Libers I won't go over the comments I made at the REB except to say how he point of departure it was to see this situating Marxist-Humanism's contribution to Women's Liberation arising in the (1950s.) It made me again reread you pre-convention Letter to the Youth and see also them how much you had situated the development by Marxist-Humanism of youth as a revolutionary category as well arising out of these All this may on the one hand seem obvious, but it made me think once again of the word ramifications, and specifically the multi-dimentional philosophic, organizational, subjects of revolution, ramifications that come when one discovers a whole new continent of thought as Raya did in the 1950s. On page 7 ts where you first begin explicibly to reconnect with Marx. However it seems for concentrated and brief and then dropped in pages 7 through 11, and then again resume in the last third of the introduction that I am wondering whether your reference to it on pages 7 and 8 could either be expanded from material n the last part, or removed to be taken up again in the last part. I appreciated your discussion of your tour in Japan and how this group was the one to translate the Essays and yet man/woman relations were very far from their consciousness in terms of their own organizational practice. And I know it is hard to deal with Isabel do Carmo on keeping WL and Revolution in separate compartments and then reversing which compartment she will single out, without bring forth Marx's concept of revolution before doing so. So it isn't a question of shoving Marx to the last third. The question I would have is that since each section covers (three decades of your writing, and since in fact each selection has at least implicat in it totality, and many have it explicit in an individual piece, then in presenting your introduction, do you have to follow the chronology of presenting parts I II and IV in order or could you discuss it in a different way. which might make Marx flow out in a different way? The section beginning on page 12 centering on Marx strikes me as a quite new way of presenting Marx: (1.) the concentration on the Grundresse and Asiatic 16362

1. Sp.

mode of production seems to me very different from how you chose to look at the Grundrisse in P&R 2 Using the EN the kind of discussion you are having on Marx and conclusions, that no conclusion for Marx was an end, only a new beginning point. I see that connected to the fast that at beginning of section on page 12 you are starting off with quote from Hegel on process.

That question of process extends very much to your decision to include the letters on RIMLKM. I think it is a very new stage for us. Not because you haven't singled out your correspondence before -- the 49-50 Correspondence in the Archives, and you have certainly made lots of references to it in published documents. But this is still the first time that a collection of your letters is actually going to be printed.

On titles, I do think that it should be a title which captures the full sweep of these essays, that is why I had suggested to Olga some version of

Women's Liberation: Essays on Global, Historic, Philosophy and Revolutionary Roots.

Do you think it is possible to create an index for these essays. I know Mike is

most experienced at that, but I was wondering whether it might includes someone from

women's liberation if you do decide to do it.

I am sorry these are so brief notes on your labors. If I have some more thoughts
I'll try and write a little more in next few days.

then I, only the Cap BUT

PP's Com range of H's Did E

2 CAP

August 31, 1984

Dear Simon.

Have you ever felt that you were restre, someouf for the very first time? You must have felt that were when concentrative read were happening to you and you came face to face with the that may metal and found that not only was it your personal achievement the scholars and actually creating new open doors for others in the scholars diether say, in the Israeli academy or Burdue? In any case, that is the way I felt when I was confronted with 400 pares of my writings on somen's Liberation and learned—clas's veomen/women's work "forcest" me to realize that, make that encounter with mysell—that an sarry as I was not only extolling her Reason but pointing to it as being assemble reaching for Revolution. Soreover that that was but a small part of all I had written and she cut the 40 years to 35, with heavy saphesis on 1970s,1980s. Were it not for Olga prodding me and convincing you as well of its relevance for today—ah, well, it is done and olga has just send it all off to Humanities with letter detailing it all to Judy.

Still to come in a week or so is my Introduction to it all: I did write a Draft which gave me the form in which to put it all in four parts, and that not just chronologically, but how each of the parts is a totality of the entire period, 1950-1984. Please note that I'm including two articles by Glea under her own name.

And since it all has a very international flavor, from US to Africa and from Asia to Europe, it is by no accident that I was so impressed with what you published—We Are Bosses Ourselvas—on Aboriginal Women that I created a special footnote for it when I quote what Marx had written of the Aborigines in 1882 as "the intelligent black" to say that 100 years later, and on Aborigines womand. Indeed I will give you a free ad and have it reviewed in News t Letters in the October issue.

Have jou noted that finally women liberationists who are scholars are giving new publicity to Rosa Luxemburg, women's Liberation and Marx's Philosophy of Revolution in Italy in Dimension; in the US both in off our backs and Hypatia; and in Australia in Themas 11; and in general in two books by men: Marxism and Totality by Martin Jay (UC Press) and Marxism in Italy by Paul Piccone?

Hurriedly, (and you can see Olga is not here today or this would have a more readable, not to mention correctly typographical Yours,